#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA CASE NO.

LUXOTTICA GROUP S.p.A., OAKLEY, INC., and COSTA DEL MAR, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

THE INDIVIDUALS, BUSINESS ENTITIES AND UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED ON SCHEDULE "A,"

Defendants.

#### **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

Plaintiffs, Luxottica Group S.p.A, Oakley, Inc., and Costa Del Mar, Inc. (collectively "Plaintiffs") hereby sue Defendants, the Individuals, Business Entities, and Unincorporated Associations Identified on Schedule "A" (collectively "Defendants"). Defendants are promoting, selling, offering for sale and distributing goods bearing and using counterfeits and confusingly similar imitations of Plaintiffs' respective trademarks within this district through various Internet based e-commerce stores, interactive photo album, and fully interactive commercial Internet websites operating under the seller identities and domain names set forth on Schedule "A" hereto (collectively the "Seller IDs and Subject Domain Names"). In support of their claims, Plaintiffs allege as follows:

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. This is an action for federal trademark counterfeiting and infringement, false designation of origin, cybersquatting, common law unfair competition, and common law trademark infringement pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114, 1116, and 1125(a) and 1125(d), The All

Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a), and Florida's common law. Accordingly, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1121 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 over Plaintiffs' state law claims because those claims are so related to the federal claims that they form part of the same case or controversy.

- 2. Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this district, because they direct business activities toward and conduct business with consumers throughout the United States, including within the State of Florida and this district, through at least, the Internet based e-commerce stores, photo album<sup>1</sup> and fully interactive commercial Internet websites accessible in Florida and operating under the Seller IDs and Subject Domain Names. Alternatively, Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this district pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(k)(2) because (i) Defendants are not subject to jurisdiction in any state's court of general jurisdiction; and (ii) exercising jurisdiction is consistent with the United States Constitution and laws.
- 3. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 since Defendants are, upon information and belief, aliens who are engaged in infringing activities and causing harm within this district by advertising, offering to sell, selling, and/or shipping infringing products into this district.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> At least one Defendant uses its Seller ID in tandem with electronic communication via private messaging applications and/or services in order to complete its offer and sale of counterfeit branded products. Specifically, consumers are able to browse listings of Plaintiffs' branded products online via this Defendant's Seller ID, ultimately directing customers to send inquiries, exchange data, and complete purchases via electronic communication with this Defendant. Additionally, at least one Defendant uses its Subject Domain Name in tandem with a photo album through the non-party image hosting website, Yupoo.com, ultimately directing customers to send inquiries, exchange data, and complete purchases for Plaintiffs' branded products via electronic communication with Defendant.

#### THE PLAINTIFFS

- 4. Plaintiff, Luxottica Group S.p.A. ("Luxottica") is a corporation organized under the laws of Italy with its principal place of business in Milan, Italy, and an office in the United States located at 4000 Luxottica Place, Mason, Ohio 45040-8114. Luxottica is, and for years has been, a global leader in the design, manufacture and distribution of fashion, sports and performance eyewear. Luxottica is, in part, engaged in the business of producing, manufacturing and distributing throughout the world, including within this district, a variety of high-quality goods and sports eyewear products under multiple world-famous common law and federally registered trademarks, including but not limited to the RAY-BAN® family of marks, as discussed in Paragraph 18 below.
- 5. Plaintiff, Oakley, Inc. ("Oakley") is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Washington with its principal place of business at One Icon, Foothill Ranch, California 92610. Oakley is a wholly owned subsidiary of Luxottica Group S.p.A. ("Luxottica"). Oakley is, and for years has been, a global leader in the design, manufacture and distribution of sports performance equipment. Oakley is, in part, engaged in the business of manufacturing and distributing throughout the world, including within this district, a variety of high-quality sports performance and lifestyle goods under multiple world-famous common law and federally registered trademarks, as discussed in Paragraph 27 below.
- 6. Plaintiff, Costa Del Mar, Inc. ("Costa") is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Florida with its principal place of business in Daytona Beach, Florida and an office located at 2361 Mason Avenue, Suite 100, Daytona Beach, Florida, 32117-5166. Costa is an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of FGX International, Inc.<sup>2</sup> Costa is, and for years has been, a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Plaintiffs are related ultimate subsidiaries of EssilorLuxottica S.A., a French corporation.

leader in the design, manufacture, and distribution of outdoor lifestyle sunglasses. Costa is, in part, engaged in the business of manufacturing and distributing throughout the world, including within this district, a variety of high-quality sports performance lifestyle goods under multiple world-famous common law and federally registered trademarks, as discussed in Paragraph 36 below.

- 7. Plaintiffs' goods are sold through various channels of trade within the State of Florida, including this district, and throughout the United States. Defendants, through the offer for sale and sale of counterfeit and infringing versions of Plaintiffs' respective branded products, are directly and unfairly competing with each Plaintiffs' economic interests in the United States, including the State of Florida, and causing each Plaintiff irreparable harm and damage within this jurisdiction.
- 8. Like many other famous trademark owners, Plaintiffs suffer ongoing daily and sustained violations of its trademark rights at the hands of counterfeiters and infringers, such as Defendants herein, who wrongfully reproduce and counterfeit Plaintiffs' individual trademarks for the twin purposes of (i) duping and confusing the consuming public and (ii) earning substantial profits across their e-commerce stores and websites. The natural and intended byproduct of Defendants' combined actions is the erosion and destruction of the goodwill associated with Plaintiffs' respective famous names and trademarks, as well as the destruction of the legitimate market sector in which they operate.
- 9. To combat the indivisible harm caused by the combined actions of Defendants, Plaintiffs expend significant monetary resources in connection with trademark enforcement efforts. The exponential growth of counterfeiting over the Internet, including through online marketplace and social media platforms, has created an environment that requires companies, such as Plaintiffs, to expend significant resources across a wide spectrum of efforts in order to protect

both consumers and themselves from confusion and the erosion of the goodwill embodied in Plaintiffs' respective brands.

#### **THE DEFENDANTS**

- 10. Defendants are individuals, business entities of unknown makeup, or unincorporated associations, each of whom, upon information and belief, either reside and/or operate in foreign jurisdictions, redistribute products from the same or similar sources in those locations, and/or ship their goods from the same or similar sources in those locations to shipping and fulfillment centers within the United States to redistribute their products from those locations. Defendants have the capacity to be sued pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17(b). Defendants target their business activities toward consumers throughout the United States, including within this district, through the simultaneous operation of commercial Internet based ecommerce stores via Internet marketplace websites and/or an interactive photo album via an Internet based image hosting website under the Seller IDs or as interactive commercial Internet websites under the Subject Domain Names.
- 11. Defendants use aliases in connection with the operation of their businesses, including but not limited to those identified by Defendant Number on Schedule "A."
- 12. Certain Defendants operate under the Seller IDs via third-party social media or image hosting websites in tandem with electronic communication via private messaging applications and/or services, thereby creating an interconnected ecosystem which functions as an online marketplace operation.
- 13. Defendants are the past and present controlling force behind the sale of products bearing counterfeits and infringements of Plaintiffs' individual trademarks as described herein.

- 14. Defendants directly engage in unfair competition with Plaintiffs by advertising, offering for sale, and selling goods, each using counterfeits and infringements of one or more of Plaintiffs' individual trademarks to consumers within the United States and this district through Internet based e-commerce stores, interactive photo album, and commercial Internet websites using, at least, the Seller IDs and Subject Domain Names, as well as additional names, e-commerce stores, photo albums, seller identification aliases, domain names or websites not yet known to Plaintiffs. Defendants have purposefully directed some portion of their illegal activities towards consumers in the State of Florida through the advertisement, offer to sell, sale, and/or shipment of counterfeit and infringing branded versions of one or more of Plaintiffs' goods into the State.
- 15. Defendants have registered, established or purchased, and maintained their Seller IDs and Subject Domain Names. Defendants may have engaged in fraudulent conduct with respect to the registration of the Seller IDs and Subject Domain Names by providing false and/or misleading information to the Internet based e-commerce platforms, social media websites, or image hosting website where they offer to sell and/or sell, or to their domain registrars during the registration or maintenance process related to their respective Seller ID and Subject Domain Name. Many Defendants have registered and/or maintained their Seller IDs and Subject Domain Names for the sole purpose of engaging in illegal counterfeiting activities.
- 16. Defendants will likely continue to register or acquire new seller identification aliases, photo albums, usernames, private messaging accounts, and domain names for the purpose of selling and offering for sale goods using counterfeit and confusingly similar imitations of one or more of Plaintiffs' trademarks unless preliminarily and permanently enjoined.
- 17. Defendants' Seller IDs and Subject Domain Names, associated payment accounts, and any other alias e-commerce store names, seller identification names, photo albums, user

names, private messaging accounts, and domain names used in connection with the sale of counterfeit and infringing goods using one or more of Plaintiffs' trademarks are essential components of Defendants' online activities and are the means by which Defendants further their counterfeiting and infringing scheme and cause harm to Plaintiffs. Moreover, Defendants are using one or more of Plaintiffs' respective famous names and/or trademarks to drive Internet consumer traffic to their e-commerce stores, photo album, or websites operating under the Seller IDs and Subject Domain Names, thereby increasing the value of the Seller IDs and Subject Domain Names and decreasing the size and value of Plaintiffs' legitimate marketplace at Plaintiffs' expense.

#### **COMMON FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

#### **Luxottica's Business and Trademark Rights**

18. Luxottica is the owner of all rights in and to the following trademarks which are valid and registered on the Principal Register of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (collectively, the "RAY-BAN Marks"):

Trademark	Registration Number	Registration Date	Class(es) / Good(s)
WAYFARER	0,595,513	September 21, 1954	IC 009: sunglasses.
Ray. Ran	0,650,499	August 20, 1957	IC 009. Sunglasses, shooting glasses, and ophthalmic lenses.
RAY-BAN	1,080,886	January 3, 1978	IC 009. Ophthalmic products and accessories-namely, sunglasses; eyeglasses; spectacles; lenses and frames for sunglasses, eyeglasses, spectacles.

Trademark	Registration Number	Registration Date	Class(es) / Good(s)
Ray-Ban	1,093,658	June 20, 1978	IC 009. Ophthalmic products and accessories; namely, sunglasses; eyeglasses; spectacles; lenses and frames for sunglasses, eyeglasses, spectacles; and cases and other protective covers for sunglasses, eyeglasses, and spectacles.
LUXOTTICA	1,254,409	October 18, 1983	IC 009. Eyeglasses, sunglasses, templates and eyeglass frames.
Ray-Ban	1,320,460	February 19, 1985	IC 009. Sunglasses and carrying cases therefor.
	1,511,615	November 8, 1988	IC 009. Eyeglasses, sunglasses, temples and eyeglass frames.
CLUBMASTER	1,537,974	May 9, 1989	IC 009. Sunglasses.
Pay. Ban	1,726,955	October 27, 1992	IC 018. Bags; namely, tote, duffle and all purpose sports bags.  IC 021. Cloths for cleaning ophthalmic products.  IC 025. Clothing and headgear, namely, hats.
Ray-Ban	3,522,603	October 21, 2008	IC 009: sunglasses, eyeglasses, lenses for eyeglasses, eyeglasses frames, and cases for eyeglasses.

The RAY-BAN Marks are used in connection with the manufacture and distribution of high-quality goods in the categories identified above. True and correct copies of the Certificates of Registration for the RAY-BAN Marks are attached hereto as Composite Exhibit "1."

19. The RAY-BAN Marks have been used in interstate commerce to identify and

distinguish Luxottica's high-quality goods for an extended period of time.

- 20. The RAY-BAN Marks have been used in commerce by Luxottica long prior in time to Defendants' use of copies of those Marks. The RAY-BAN Marks have never been assigned or licensed to any of the Defendants in this matter.
- 21. The RAY-BAN Marks are symbols of Luxottica's quality, reputation, and goodwill and have never been abandoned. Luxottica has carefully monitored and policed the use of the RAY-BAN Marks.
- 22. The RAY-BAN Marks are well known and famous and have been for many years. Luxottica expends substantial resources developing, advertising, and otherwise promoting the RAY-BAN Marks. The RAY-BAN Marks qualify as famous marks as that term is used in 15 U.S.C. §1125(c)(1).
- 23. Further, Luxottica extensively uses, advertises, and promotes the RAY-BAN Marks in the United States in association with the sale of high-quality goods. Luxottica has expended substantial resources promoting the RAY-BAN Marks and products bearing the RAY-BAN Marks on the Internet and via its official website, <a href="www.ray-ban.com">www.ray-ban.com</a>. In recent years, annual sales of products bearing the RAY-BAN Marks have totaled in the hundreds of millions of dollars within the United States.
- 24. As a result of Luxottica's efforts, members of the consuming public readily identify merchandise bearing or sold using the RAY-BAN Marks as being high quality goods sponsored and approved by Luxottica.
- 25. Accordingly, the RAY-BAN Marks have achieved secondary meaning among consumers as identifiers of Luxottica's high-quality goods.

26. Genuine goods bearing the RAY-BAN Marks are widely legitimately advertised and promoted by Luxottica, its authorized distributors, and unrelated third parties via the Internet. Visibility on the Internet, particularly via Internet search engines such as Google, Yahoo!, and Bing, is important to Luxottica's overall marketing and consumer education efforts. Thus, Luxottica expends significant monetary and other resources on Internet marketing and consumer education, including search engine optimization ("SEO") and search engine marketing ("SEM") strategies. Those strategies allow Luxottica and its authorized retailers to educate consumers fairly and legitimately about the value associated with the RAY-BAN Marks and the goods sold thereunder. Similarly, Defendants' individual seller stores, photo album, and websites are indexed on search engines and compete directly with Luxottica for space and consumer attention in the search results.

#### Oakley's Business and Trademark Rights

27. Oakley is the owner of all rights in and to the following trademarks which are valid and registered on the Principal Register of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (collectively, the "OAKLEY Marks"):

Trademark	Registration Number	Registration Date	Class(es) / Good(s)
YEV	1,356,297	August 27, 1985	IC 009. Goggles, sunglasses and protective pads for elbows, feet and knees.  IC 025. Clothing - namely t-shirts; gloves; racing pants; hats; sweatshirts; sport shirts, jackets, jeans, jerseys and ski pants, jackets, hats, gloves and socks.
YEV	1,519,596	January 10, 1989	IC 009. Sunglasses and accessories for sunglasses, namely, replacement lenses, ear stems and nose pieces.

Trademark	Registration Number	Registration Date	Class(es) / Good(s)
OAKLEY	1,521,599	January 24, 1989	IC 009. Sunglasses and accessories for sunglasses.
OAKLEY	1552583	August 22, 1989	IC 009. Goggles
M FRAME	1,701,476	July 21, 1992	IC 009. Protective eyewear; namely, goggles, anti-glare glasses; sunglasses and their parts; namely, lenses, replacement lenses, frames, earstems and nose pieces; cases specially adapted for sunglasses and their parts.
0*EY	1,980,039	June 11, 1996	IC 009. Protective and/or anti-glare eyewear, namely sunglasses, goggles, spectacles and their parts and accessories, namely replacement lenses, earstems, frames, nose pieces and foam strips; cases specially adapted for protective and/or anti-glare eyewear and their parts and accessories.
	1,984,501	July 02, 1996	IC 009. Protective and/or anti-glare eyewear, namely sunglasses, goggles, spectacles and their parts and accessories, namely replacement lenses, ear stems, frames, nose pieces and foam strips; cases specially adapted for protective and/or anti-glare eyewear and their parts and accessories.
STRAIGHT JACKET	2,054,810	April 22, 1997	IC 009. Protective and/or anti-glare eyewear, namely, sunglasses, goggles, spectacles and their parts and accessories including replacement lenses, earstems, frames, nose pieces and foam strips; cases specially adapted for protective and/or anti-glare eyewear and their parts and accessories.

Trademark	Registration Number	Registration Date	Class(es) / Good(s)
SQUARE WIRE	2,106,614	October 21, 1997	IC 009. Protective and/or antiglare eyewear, namely, sunglasses, goggles, spectacles and their parts and accessories, namely, replacement lenses, earstems, frames, nose pieces, and foam strips; cases specially adapted for protective and/or antiglare eyewear and their parts and accessories.
JULIET	2,388,070	September 19, 2000	IC 009. Protective and/or anti-glare eyewear, namely, sunglasses, spectacles, and their parts and accessories, namely, replacement lenses, earstems, frames, nose pieces and foam strips; cases specially adapted for protective and/or anti-glare eyewear and their parts and accessories.
	2,393,107	October 10, 2000	IC 009. Protective eyewear, namely, spectacles, anti-glare glasses and sunglasses and parts thereof, namely frames and earstems.
	2,403,609	November 14, 2000	IC 009. Protective eyewear, namely, spectacles, anti-glare glasses, and sunglasses and parts thereof, namely, frames and earstems.
VALVE	2,900,432	November 2, 2004	IC 009. Protective eyewear, namely spectacles, prescription eyewear, namely, spectacles and sunglasses, antiglare glasses and sunglasses and parts thereof, namely replacement lenses, frames, earstems, and nose pieces; cases specially adapted for spectacles and sunglasses.
	3,151,994	October 3, 2006	IC 009 Protective eyewear, namely spectacles, prescription eyewear, anti glare glasses and sunglasses and their

Trademark	Registration Number	Registration Date	Class(es) / Good(s)
OAKLEY	3,153,943	October 10, 2006	IC 009. Prescription eyewear, namely, sunglasses and spectacles; eyewear containing electronics devices, namely, protective eyewear, eyeglasses, sunglasses and spectacles; electronics, namely portable digital electronic devices for recording, organizing, and reviewing text, data and audio files; computer software for use in recording, organizing, and reviewing text, data and audio files on portable digital electronic devices; transmitters, receivers, speakers and parts thereof for use with cellular, wireless computer and telephone communication systems; communication devices for use on eyewear, namely earpieces, transmitters, receivers, speakers and parts thereof for use with cellular, wireless computer and telephone communication systems; wearable audio visual display, namely, protective eyewear, eyeglasses, sunglasses and spectacles containing an audio visual display; wireless telecommunications modules.
GASCAN	3,245,494	May 22, 2007	IC 009. Protective eyewear, namely spectacles, prescription eyewear, anti glare glasses and sunglasses and their parts and accessories, namely replacement lenses, frames, earstems, and nose pieces; cases specially adapted for spectacles and sunglasses and their parts and accessories

Trademark	Registration Number	Registration Date	Class(es) / Good(s)
			IC 009. Protective eyewear, namely spectacles, prescription eyewear, anti glare glasses and sunglasses and their parts and accessories, namely replacement lenses, frames, earstems, and nose pieces; cases specially adapted for spectacles and sunglasses and their parts and accessories; and protective clothing, namely, racing pants.
	3,331,124 November 6, 2007		IC 025. Clothing, namely, t-shirts, beach-wear, blouses, sports shirts, jerseys, swimwear, swimtrunks, shorts, underwear, shirts, pants, ski and snowboard pants and jackets, jeans, vests, jackets, wetsuits, sweaters, pullovers, coats, sweatpants, headwear, namely, hats, caps, visors and footwear, namely wetsuit booties, shoes, sandals, athletic footwear, all purpose sports footwear, thongs and boots.
FLAK JACKET	3,379,109	February 5, 2008	IC 009. Protective eyewear, namely, spectacles, prescription eyewear, anti glare glasses and sunglasses and their parts and accessories, namely, replacement lenses, frames, earstems, and nose pieces; cases specially adapted for spectacles and sunglasses and their parts and accessories.
RADAR	3,379,110	February 5, 2008	IC 009. Protective eyewear, namely, spectacles, prescription eyewear, anti glare glasses and sunglasses and their parts and accessories, namely, replacement lenses, frames, earstems, and nose pieces; cases specially adapted for spectacles and sunglasses and their parts and accessories.

Trademark	Registration Number	Registration Date	Class(es) / Good(s)
OIL RIG	3,489,952	August 19, 2008	IC 009. Protective eyewear, namely, spectacles, prescription eyewear, anti glare glasses and sunglasses and their parts and accessories, namely, replacement lenses, frames, earstems, and nose pieces; cases specially adapted for spectacles and sunglasses and their parts and accessories.
FIVES SQUARED	3,680,975	September 8, 2009	IC 009. Protective eyewear, namely, spectacles, prescription eyewear, anti glare glasses and sunglasses and their parts and accessories, namely, replacement lenses, frames, earstems, and nose pieces; cases specially adapted for spectacles and sunglasses and their parts and accessories.
BATWOLF	4,136,113	May 1, 2012	IC 009. Protective eyewear, namely, spectacles, prescription eyewear, anti glare glasses and sunglasses and their parts and accessories, namely, replacement lenses, frames, ear stems, and nose pieces; cases specially adapted for spectacles and sunglasses and their parts and accessories.
FROGSKINS	4,194,197	August 21, 2012	IC 009. Eyewear, namely sunglasses and accessories for sunglasses, namely, replacement lenses, ear stems and nose pieces.
RADARLOCK	4,407,749	September 24, 2013	IC 009. Eyewear, namely, sunglasses, sports goggles, spectacles and their parts and accessories, namely, replacement lenses, ear stems, frames, nose pieces and foam strips; cases specially adapted for eyewear and their parts and accessories
CROSSLINK	4,407,750	September 24, 2013	IC 009. Eyewear, namely, sunglasses, sports goggles, spectacles and their parts and accessories, namely, replacement lenses, ear stems, frames, nose pieces and foam strips; cases specially adapted for eyewear and their parts and accessories.

Trademark	Registration Number	Registration Date	Class(es) / Good(s)
PRIZM	4,813,708	September 15, 2015	IC 009. Protective and/or anti-glare eyewear, namely, sunglasses, spectacles and their parts and accessories, namely, replacement lenses, earstems, frames, nose pieces and foam strips; cases specially adapted for protective and/or anti-glare eyewear, their parts and their accessories, namely, replacement lenses, earstems, frames, nose pieces and foam strips.
JAWBREAKER	4,827,569	October 6, 2015	IC 009. Eyewear, namely, sunglasses, goggles, spectacles and their parts and accessories, namely, replacement lenses, ear stems, frames, nose pieces and foam strips; cases specifically adapted for eyewear and their parts and accessories.
FLAK	4,847,461	November 3, 2015	IC 009. Eyewear, namely, sunglasses, goggles, spectacles and their parts and accessories, namely, replacement lenses, ear stems, frames, nose pieces and foam strips; cases specifically adapted for eyewear and their parts and accessories.
LATCH	5,026,399	August 23, 2016	strips; cases specifically adapted for eyewear and their parts and accessories.
JUPITER SQUARED	5,026,407	August 23, 2016	IC 009. Protective eyewear, namely, spectacles, prescription eyewear, anti glare glasses and sunglasses and their parts and accessories, namely, replacement lenses, frames, earstems, and nose pieces; cases specially adapted for spectacles and sunglasses and their parts and accessories.
HOLBROOK	5,636,292	December 25, 2018	IC 009. Eyewear, namely, sunglasses, goggles for sports, spectacles and their parts and accessories, namely, replacement lenses, ear stems, frames, nose pieces and foam strips; cases specifically adapted for eyewear and their parts and accessories.

The OAKLEY Marks are used in connection with the manufacture and distribution of high-quality goods in the categories identified above. True and correct copies of the Certificates of Registration for the OAKLEY Marks are attached hereto as Composite Exhibit "2."

- 28. The OAKLEY Marks have been used in interstate commerce to identify and distinguish Oakley's high-quality goods for an extended period of time.
- 29. The OAKLEY Marks have been used in commerce by Oakley long prior in time to Defendants' use of copies of those Marks. The OAKLEY Marks have never been assigned or licensed to any of the Defendants in this matter.
- 30. The OAKLEY Marks are symbols of Oakley's quality, reputation, and goodwill and have never been abandoned. Oakley has carefully monitored and policed the use of the OAKLEY Marks.
- 31. The OAKLEY Marks are well known and famous and have been for many years. Oakley expends substantial resources in developing, advertising, and otherwise promoting the OAKLEY Marks. The OAKLEY Marks qualify as famous marks as that term is used in 15 U.S.C. §1125(c)(1).
- 32. Further, Oakley extensively uses, advertises, and promotes the OAKLEY Marks in the United States in association with the sale of high-quality goods. Oakley has expended enormous resources promoting the OAKLEY Marks and products bearing the OAKLEY Marks on the Internet and via its official website, <a href="www.oakley.com">www.oakley.com</a>. In recent years, annual sales of products bearing the OAKLEY Marks have totaled in the hundreds of millions of dollars within the United States.

- 33. As a result of Oakley's efforts, members of the consuming public readily identify merchandise bearing or sold under the OAKLEY Marks as being high quality merchandise sponsored and approved by Oakley.
- 34. Accordingly, the OAKLEY Marks have achieved secondary meaning among consumers as identifiers of Oakley's high-quality goods.
- 35. Genuine goods bearing the OAKLEY Marks are widely legitimately advertised and promoted by Oakley, its authorized distributors, and unrelated third parties via the Internet. Visibility on the Internet, particularly via Internet search engines such as Google, Yahoo!, and Bing, is important to Oakley's overall marketing and consumer education efforts. Thus, Oakley expends significant monetary and other resources on Internet marketing and consumer education, including search engine optimization ("SEO") and search engine marketing ("SEM") strategies. Those strategies allow Oakley and its authorized retailers to educate consumers fairly and legitimately about the value associated with the OAKLEY Marks and the goods sold thereunder. Similarly, Defendants' individual seller stores, photo album, and websites are indexed on search engines and compete directly with Oakley for space and consumer attention in the search results.

#### **Costa's Business and Trademark Rights**

36. Costa is the owner of all rights in and to the following trademarks which are valid and registered on the Principal Register of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (collectively, the "COSTA Marks"):

Trademark	Registration Number	Registration Date	Class(es) / Good(s)
COSTA DEL MAR	1,723,449	October 13, 1992	IC 009. Sunglasses.

Trademark	Registration Number	Registration Date	Class(es) / Good(s)
<b>~~~~</b>	3,245,770	May 29, 2007	IC 009. Sports eyewear and sunglasses.
C	3,273,228	August 7, 2007	IC 009. Sunglasses, sunglass frames, sunglass lenses.
	3,273,229	August 7, 2007	IC 009. Sunglasses, sunglass frames, sunglass lenses.
C-MATES	3,420,371	April 29, 2008	IC 009. Combination sunglasses and reading glasses.
	3,431,239	May 20, 2008	IC 009. Eyewear, namely, sports eyewear and sunglasses.
COSTA 580	3,711,018	November 17, 2009	IC 009. Sunglasses and sunglass frames, sunglass lenses.
COSTA	3,857,379	October 5, 2010	IC 009. Sunglasses and sunglass frames.
	4,114,951	March 20, 2012	IC 009. Sports eyewear and sunglasses.
580	4,891,374	January 26, 2016	IC 009. Sunglasses lenses.

Trademark	Registration Number	Registration Date	Class(es) / Good(s)
COSTA	5,944,853	January 8, 2019	IC 003. Eyewear cleaning solution.  IC 009. Eyeglass lanyards; waterproof dry cases for cell phones.  IC 016. Stickers, decals, bumper stickers, decorative decals for vehicle windows.  IC 021. Bottle openers; drinking glasses, namely, tumblers, insulated containers for beverages, heatinsulated containers for beverages, containers for hot beverages, containers for cold beverages, coffee and tea mugs; microfiber cloths for cleaning.  IC 022. Lanyards for holding keys.  IC 025. Neck gaiters; knit face masks; bandanas.
COSTA	5,646,820	January 8, 2019	IC 009. Eyeglasses and related accessories, namely, eyeglass lenses, eyeglass cases.
6	5,653,366	January 15, 2019	IC 009. Eyeglasses and related accessories, namely, eyeglass lenses, eyeglass cases.
COSTA	5,653,368	January 15, 2019	IC 009. Eyeglasses and related accessories, namely, eyeglass lenses, eyeglass cases.
DEL MAR	5,729,388	April 16, 2019	IC 009. Eyewear, namely, sunglasses, sunglass frames, and sunglass lenses.

The COSTA Marks are used in connection with the manufacture and distribution of high-quality goods in the categories identified above. True and correct copies of the Certificates of Registration for the COSTA Marks are attached hereto as Composite Exhibit "3."

- 37. The COSTA Marks have been used in interstate commerce to identify and distinguish Costa's high-quality goods for an extended period of time.
- 38. The COSTA Marks have been used in commerce by Costa long prior in time to Defendants' use of copies of those Marks. The COSTA Marks have never been assigned or licensed to any of the Defendants in this matter.
- 39. The COSTA Marks are symbols of Costa's quality, reputation, and goodwill and have never been abandoned. Costa has carefully monitored and policed the use of the COSTA Marks.
- 40. The COSTA Marks are well known and famous and have been for many years. Costa expends substantial resources in developing, advertising, and otherwise promoting the COSTA Marks. The COSTA Marks qualify as famous marks as that term is used in 15 U.S.C. §1125(c)(1).
- 41. Further, Costa extensively uses, advertises, and promotes the COSTA Marks in the United States in association with the sale of high-quality goods. Costa has expended enormous resources promoting the COSTA Marks and products bearing the COSTA Marks on the Internet and via its official website, <a href="www.costadelmar.com">www.costadelmar.com</a>. In recent years, annual sales of products bearing the COSTA Marks have totaled in the millions of dollars within the United States.
- 42. As a result of Costa's efforts, members of the consuming public readily identify merchandise bearing or sold under the COSTA Marks as being high quality merchandise sponsored and approved by Costa.
- 43. Accordingly, the COSTA Marks have achieved secondary meaning among consumers as identifiers of Costa's high-quality goods.

44. Genuine goods bearing the COSTA Marks are widely legitimately advertised and promoted by Costa, its authorized distributors, and unrelated third parties via the Internet. Visibility on the Internet, particularly via Internet search engines such as Google, Yahoo!, and Bing, is important to Costa's overall marketing and consumer education efforts. Thus, Costa expends significant monetary and other resources on Internet marketing and consumer education, including search engine optimization ("SEO") and search engine marketing ("SEM") strategies. Those strategies allow Costa and its authorized retailers to educate consumers fairly and legitimately about the value associated with the COSTA Marks and the goods sold thereunder. Similarly, Defendants' individual seller stores, photo album, and websites are indexed on search engines and compete directly with Costa for space and consumer attention in the search results.

#### **Defendants' Infringing Activities**

45. Defendants are each promoting and advertising, distributing, selling, and/or offering for sale goods in interstate commerce using and bearing counterfeit and confusingly similar imitations of one or more of the RAY-BAN Marks, OAKLEY Marks and/or the COSTA Marks (the "Counterfeit Goods") through at least the e-commerce stores, interactive photo album in tandem with private messaging applications, and interactive, commercial Internet websites operating under the Seller IDs and Subject Domain Names. Specifically, Defendants are using the RAY-BAN Marks, OAKLEY Marks and/or COSTA Marks (collectively "Plaintiffs' Marks") to initially attract online consumers and drive them to Defendants' e-commerce stores, photo album, and websites operating under the Seller IDs and Subject Domain Names. Defendants are each using identical copies of one or more of Plaintiffs' Marks for different quality goods. Plaintiffs have used their respective Marks extensively and continuously before Defendants began offering goods using counterfeit and confusingly similar imitations of Plaintiffs' merchandise.

- 46. Defendants' Counterfeit Goods are of a quality substantially different than that of Plaintiffs' respective, genuine goods. Defendants are actively using, promoting and otherwise advertising, distributing, selling and/or offering for sale substantial quantities of their Counterfeit Goods with the knowledge and intent that such goods will be mistaken for Plaintiffs' genuine high-quality goods despite Defendants' knowledge that they are without authority to use Plaintiffs' Marks. The net effect of Defendants' actions is likely to cause confusion of consumers, at the time of initial interest, sale, and in the post-sale setting, who will believe all of Defendants' goods offered for sale in Defendants' e-commerce stores, photo album, and websites are genuine goods originating from, associated with, and/or approved by Plaintiffs.
- 47. Defendants advertise their e-commerce stores, photo album, and websites, including their Counterfeit Goods offered for sale, to the consuming public via e-commerce stores or interactive photo album on, at least, one e-commerce marketplace or image hosting website using at least the Seller IDs, and/or via commercial websites operating under at least the Subject Domain Names. In so doing, Defendants improperly and unlawfully use one or more of Plaintiffs' Marks without Plaintiffs' permission.
- 48. As part of their overall unlawful scheme, Defendants are, upon information and belief, concurrently employing and benefitting from substantially similar advertising and marketing strategies based, in large measure, upon an illegal use of counterfeits and infringements of Plaintiffs' Marks. Specifically, Defendants are using counterfeits and infringements of at least one of Plaintiffs' famous names or Plaintiffs' Marks to make their e-commerce stores, photo album, and websites selling illegal goods appear more relevant and attractive to consumers searching for both Plaintiffs' and non-Plaintiffs' goods and information online. By their actions, Defendants are contributing to the creation and maintenance of an illegal marketplace operating in

parallel to the legitimate marketplace for Plaintiffs' respective genuine goods. Defendants are causing individual, concurrent and indivisible harm to Plaintiffs and the consuming public by (i) depriving Plaintiffs and other third parties of their right to fairly compete for space within search engine results and reducing the visibility of Plaintiffs' genuine goods on the World Wide Web, (ii) causing an overall degradation of the value of the goodwill associated with Plaintiffs' Marks, and (iii) increasing Plaintiffs' overall cost to market their goods and educate consumers about their brands via the Internet.

- 49. Defendants are concurrently conducting and targeting their counterfeiting and infringing activities towards consumers and likely causing unified harm within this district and elsewhere throughout the United States. As a result, Defendants are defrauding Plaintiffs and the consuming public for Defendants' own benefit.
- 50. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants in this action had full knowledge of Plaintiffs' respective ownership of Plaintiffs' Marks, including their respective, exclusive rights to use and license such intellectual property and the goodwill associated therewith.
- 51. Defendants' use of Plaintiffs' Marks, including the promotion and advertisement, reproduction, distribution, sale and offering for sale of their Counterfeit Goods, is without Plaintiffs' consent or authorization.
- 52. Defendants are engaging in the above-described illegal counterfeiting and infringing activities knowingly and intentionally or with reckless disregard or willful blindness to Plaintiffs' rights for the purpose of trading on Plaintiffs' goodwill and reputation. If Defendants' intentional counterfeiting and infringing activities are not preliminarily and permanently enjoined by this Court, Plaintiffs and the consuming public will continue to be harmed.

- 53. Defendants' above identified infringing activities are likely to cause confusion, deception, and mistake in the minds of consumers, before, during and after the time of purchase. Moreover, Defendants' wrongful conduct is likely to create a false impression and deceive customers, the public, and the trade into believing there is a connection or association between Plaintiffs' respective, genuine goods and Defendants' Counterfeit Goods, which there is not.
- 54. Moreover, upon information and belief, at least Defendant Numbers 1–6 have registered one or more of their respective Subject Domain Name using marks that are nearly identical and/or confusingly similar to at least one of Plaintiffs' Marks (the "Cybersquatted Subject Domain Names").
- 55. Defendant Numbers 1–6 do not have, nor have they ever had, the right or authority to use Plaintiffs' Marks. Further, Plaintiffs' Marks have never been assigned or licensed to be used on any of the websites, including the websites operating under the Cybersquatted Subject Domain Names.
- 56. Defendant Numbers 1–6 have provided false and/or misleading contact information when applying for the registration of the Cybersquatted Subject Domain Names or have intentionally failed to maintain accurate contact information with respect to the registration of the Cybersquatted Subject Domain Names.
- 57. Defendant Numbers 1–6 have never used the Cybersquatted Subject Domain Names in connection with a bona fide offering of goods or services.
- 58. Defendant Numbers 1–6 have not made any bona fide non-commercial or fair use of Plaintiffs' Marks on a website accessible under the Cybersquatted Subject Domain Names.

- 59. Defendant Numbers 1–6 have intentionally incorporated at least one of Plaintiffs' Marks in their respective Cybersquatted Subject Domain Name to divert consumers looking for one of Plaintiffs' Internet websites to their own respective Internet website for commercial gain.
- 60. Given the visibility of Defendants' various e-commerce stores, photo album, and websites and the similarity of their actions, it is clear Defendants are either affiliated, or at a minimum, cannot help but know of each other's existence and the unified harm likely to be caused to Plaintiffs and the overall consumer market in which they operate as a result of Defendants' concurrent actions.
- 61. Although some Defendants may be physically acting independently, they may properly be deemed to be acting in concert because the combined force of their actions serves to multiply the harm caused to Plaintiffs.
- 62. Defendants' payment and financial accounts, including but not limited to those specifically set forth on Schedule "A," are being used by Defendants to accept, receive, and deposit profits from Defendants' trademark counterfeiting and infringing, and unfairly competitive activities connected to their Seller IDs and Subject Domain Names and any other alias e-commerce stores, photo albums, seller identification names, user names, private messaging accounts, domain names, or websites being used and/or controlled by them.
- 63. Further, Defendants are likely to transfer or secret their assets to avoid payment of any monetary judgment awarded to Plaintiffs.
  - 64. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law.
- 65. Plaintiffs are suffering irreparable injury and have suffered substantial damages because of Defendants' unauthorized and wrongful use of Plaintiffs' Marks. If Defendants' counterfeiting and infringing, and unfairly competitive activities are not preliminarily and

permanently enjoined by this Court, Plaintiffs and the consuming public will continue to be harmed.

66. The harm and damages sustained by Plaintiffs have been directly and proximately caused by Defendants' wrongful reproduction, use, advertisement, promotion, offers to sell, and sale of their Counterfeit Goods.

#### COUNT I - TRADEMARK COUNTERFEITING AND INFRINGEMENT PURSUANT TO § 32 OF THE LANHAM ACT (15 U.S.C. § 1114)

- 67. Plaintiffs hereby adopt and re-allege the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 66 above.
- 68. This is an action for trademark counterfeiting and infringement against Defendants based on their use of counterfeit and confusingly similar imitations of Plaintiffs' Marks in commerce in connection with the promotion, advertisement, distribution, offering for sale, and sale of the Counterfeit Goods.
- 69. Specifically, Defendants are promoting and otherwise advertising, selling, offering for sale, and distributing goods using and/or bearing counterfeits and/or infringements of one or more of Plaintiffs' Marks. Defendants are continuously infringing and inducing others to infringe Plaintiffs' Marks by using one or more of Plaintiffs' Marks to advertise, promote, offer to sell, and/or sell counterfeit and infringing versions of Plaintiffs' branded goods.
- 70. Defendants' concurrent counterfeiting and infringing activities are likely to cause and are causing confusion, mistake, and deception among members of the trade and the general consuming public as to the origin and quality of Defendants' Counterfeit Goods.
- 71. Defendants' unlawful actions have caused and are continuing to cause unquantifiable damages to Plaintiffs and are unjustly enriching Defendants with profits at Plaintiffs' expense.

- 72. Defendants' above-described unlawful actions constitute counterfeiting and infringement of Plaintiffs' Marks in violation of Plaintiffs' rights under § 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.
- 73. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law. Plaintiffs have each suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and damages due to Defendants' above-described activities if Defendants are not preliminarily and permanently enjoined. Additionally, Defendants will continue to wrongfully profit from their illegal activities.

## COUNT II - FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN PURSUANT TO § 43(a) OF THE LANHAM ACT (15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

- 74. Plaintiffs hereby adopt and re-allege the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 66 above.
- 75. Defendants' Counterfeit Goods bearing, offered for sale, and sold using copies of one or more of Plaintiffs' Marks have been widely advertised and offered for sale throughout the United States via the Internet.
- 76. Defendants' Counterfeit Goods bearing, offered for sale, and sold using copies of one or more of Plaintiffs' Marks are virtually identical in appearance to Plaintiffs' respective, genuine goods. However, Defendants' Counterfeit Goods are different in quality. Accordingly, Defendants' activities are likely to cause confusion among consumers as to at least the origin or sponsorship of their Counterfeit Goods.
- 77. Defendants have used in connection with their advertisement, offer for sale, and sale of the Counterfeit Goods, false designations of origin and false descriptions and representations, including words or symbols and trade dress which falsely describe or represent such goods and have caused such goods to enter commerce in the United States with full

knowledge of the falsity of such designations of origin and such descriptions and representations, all to Plaintiffs' detriment.

- 78. Defendants have each authorized infringing uses of one or more of Plaintiffs' Marks in Defendants' advertisement and promotion of their counterfeit and infringing branded goods. Some Defendants have also misrepresented to members of the consuming public that the Counterfeit Goods being advertised and sold by them are genuine, non-infringing goods.
- 79. Additionally, many Defendants are using counterfeits and infringements of one or more of Plaintiffs' Marks to unfairly compete with Plaintiffs and others for space within organic and paid search engine and social media results. Defendants are thereby jointly (i) depriving Plaintiffs of valuable marketing and educational space online which would otherwise be available to Plaintiffs and (ii) reducing the visibility of Plaintiffs' genuine goods on the World Wide Web and across social media platforms.
- 80. Defendants' above-described actions are in violation of Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §1125(a).
- 81. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law and have sustained both individual and indivisible injury and damage caused by Defendants' concurrent conduct. Absent an entry of an injunction by this Court, Defendants will continue to wrongfully reap profits and each Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable injury to its goodwill and business reputation, as well as monetary damages.

# COUNT III -CLAIM FOR RELIEF FOR CYBERSQUATTING PURSUANT TO § 43(d) OF THE LANHAM ACT (15 U.S.C. § 1125(d)) (Against Defendant Numbers 1–6 only)

- 82. Plaintiffs hereby adopt and re-allege the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 66 above.
- 83. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiffs have been and still are the owners of the rights, title, and interest in and to their respective Marks.
- 84. Defendant Numbers 1–6 have acted with the bad faith intent to profit from at least one of Plaintiffs' Marks and the goodwill associated with Plaintiffs' Marks by registering and using their respective Cybersquatted Subject Domain Name.
- 85. Plaintiffs' Marks were distinctive and famous at the time Defendant Numbers 1–6 registered the Cybersquatted Subject Domain Names.
- 86. Defendant Numbers 1–6 have no intellectual property rights in or to Plaintiffs' Marks.
- 87. The Cybersquatted Subject Domain Names are identical to, confusingly similar to, or dilutive of at least one of Plaintiffs' Marks.
- 88. Defendant Numbers 1–6's conduct is done with knowledge and constitutes a willful violation of Plaintiffs' rights in the Marks. At a minimum, the conduct of these Defendants constitutes reckless disregard for and willful blindness to Plaintiffs' rights.
- 89. Defendant Numbers 1–6's actions constitute cybersquatting in violation of §43(d) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(d).
  - 90. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law.

91. Plaintiffs have each suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and damages due to the above-described activities of Defendant Numbers 1–6 if these Defendants are not preliminarily and permanently enjoined

#### **COUNT IV - COMMON LAW UNFAIR COMPETITION.**

- 92. Plaintiffs hereby adopt and re-allege the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 66 above.
- 93. This is an action against Defendants based on their promotion, advertisement, distribution, sale, and/or offering for sale of goods using or bearing marks which are virtually identical to one or more of Plaintiffs' Marks, in violation of Florida's common law of unfair competition.
- 94. Specifically, Defendants are promoting and otherwise advertising, selling, offering for sale, and distributing infringing and counterfeit versions of Plaintiffs' branded goods. Defendants are also each using counterfeits and infringements of one or more of Plaintiffs' Marks to unfairly compete with Plaintiffs and others for (i) space in search engine and social media results across an array of search terms and/or (ii) visibility on the World Wide Web.
- 95. Defendants' infringing activities are likely to cause and are causing confusion, mistake, and deception among the consumers as to the origin and quality of Defendants' ecommerce stores as a whole and all products sold therein by their use of Plaintiffs' Marks.
- 96. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law. Plaintiffs have each suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and damages due to Defendants' above-described activities if Defendants are not preliminarily and permanently enjoined. Additionally, Defendants will continue to wrongfully profit from their illegal activities.

#### **COUNT V - COMMON LAW TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT**

- 97. Plaintiffs hereby adopt and re-allege the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 66 above.
- 98. Plaintiffs are the respective owners of all common law rights in and to their respective Plaintiffs' Marks.
- 99. This is an action for common law trademark infringement against Defendants based on their promotion, advertisement, offering for sale, and/or sale of their Counterfeit Goods using one or more of Plaintiffs' Marks.
- 100. Specifically, each Defendant is promoting and otherwise advertising, distributing, offering for sale, and selling goods using and bearing infringements of one or more of Plaintiffs' Marks.
- 101. Defendants' infringing activities are likely to cause and are causing confusion, mistake and deception among the consumers as to the origin and quality of Defendants' Counterfeit Goods bearing Plaintiffs' Marks.
- 102. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law. Plaintiffs have each suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and damages due to Defendants' above-described activities if Defendants are not preliminarily and permanently enjoined. Additionally, Defendants will continue to wrongfully profit from their illegal activities.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 103. WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment on all Counts of this Complaint and an award of equitable relief and monetary relief against Defendants as follows:
- a. Entry of temporary, preliminary, and permanent injunctions pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a), The All Writs Act, and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65

enjoining Defendants, their agents, representatives, servants, employees, and all those acting in concert or participation therewith, from manufacturing or causing to be manufactured, importing, advertising or promoting, distributing, selling or offering to sell their Counterfeit Goods; from infringing, counterfeiting, or diluting Plaintiffs' Marks; from using Plaintiffs' Marks, or any mark or trade dress similar thereto, in connection with the sale of any unauthorized goods; from using any logo, trade name or trademark or trade dress that may be calculated to falsely advertise the services or goods of Defendants as being sponsored by, authorized by, endorsed by, or in any way associated with Plaintiffs; from falsely representing themselves as being connected with Plaintiffs, through sponsorship or association, or engaging in any act that is likely to falsely cause members of the trade and/or of the purchasing public to believe any goods or services of Defendants, are in any way endorsed by, approved by, and/or associated with Plaintiffs; from using any reproduction, counterfeit, infringement, copy, or colorable imitation of Plaintiffs' Marks in connection with the publicity, promotion, sale, or advertising of any goods sold by Defendants; from affixing, applying, annexing or using in connection with the sale of any goods, a false description or representation, including words or other symbols tending to falsely describe or represent Defendants' goods as being those of Plaintiffs, or in any way endorsed by Plaintiffs and from offering such goods in commerce; from engaging in search engine optimization strategies using colorable imitations of Plaintiffs' name or trademarks and from otherwise unfairly competing with Plaintiffs.

b. Entry of temporary, preliminary, and permanent injunctions pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a), The All Writs Act, and the Court's inherent authority, enjoining Defendants and all third parties with actual notice of an injunction issued by the Court from participating in, including providing financial services, technical services or other support to, Defendants in

connection with the sale and distribution of non-genuine goods bearing and/or using counterfeits of Plaintiffs' Marks.

- c. Entry of an order pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a), The All Writs Act, and the Court's inherent authority, that, upon Plaintiffs' request, Defendants and the top level domain (TLD) Registry for each of the Subject Domain Names, and any other domain names used by Defendants, or their administrators, including backend registry operators or administrators, place the Subject Domain Names on Registry Hold status for the remainder of the registration period for any such domain name, thus removing them from the TLD zone files which link the Subject Domain Names, and any other domain names being used and/or controlled by Defendants to engage in the business of marketing, offering to sell, and/or selling goods bearing counterfeits and infringements of Plaintiffs' Marks, to the IP addresses where the associated websites are hosted.
- d. Entry of an order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a), The All Writs Act, and the Court's inherent authority, canceling for the life of the current registration or, at Plaintiffs' election, transferring the Subject Domain Names, and any other domain names used by Defendants to engage in their counterfeiting of Plaintiffs' Marks, to Plaintiffs' control so they may no longer be used for unlawful purposes.
- e. Entry of an order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a), The All Writs Act, and the Court's inherent authority, that, upon Plaintiffs' request, the applicable governing Internet marketplace website operators and/or administrators for the Seller IDs who are provided with notice of an injunction issued by the Court disable and/or cease facilitating access to the Seller IDs, and any other alias e-commerce stores and seller identification names being used and/or

controlled by Defendants to engage in the business of marketing, offering to sell and/or selling goods bearing counterfeits and infringements of Plaintiffs' Marks.

- f. Entry of an order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a), The All Writs Act, and the Court's inherent authority, that, upon Plaintiffs' request, any Internet marketplace website operators and/or administrators, registrar and/or top level domain (TLD) Registry for the Seller IDs and Subject Domain Names, who are provided with notice of an injunction issued by the Court identify any e-mail address known to be associated with Defendants' respective Seller ID or Subject Domain Names.
- g. Entry of an order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a), The All Writs Act, and the Court's inherent authority, that, upon Plaintiffs' request, any Internet marketplace website operators and/or administrators who are provided with notice of an injunction issued by the Court, permanently remove any and all listings and associated images of goods bearing and/or using counterfeits and/or infringements of Plaintiffs' Marks via the e-commerce stores operating under the Seller IDs, and upon Plaintiffs' request, any other listings and images of goods bearing and/or using counterfeits and/or infringements of Plaintiffs' Marks associated with or linked to the same sellers or linked to any other alias e-commerce stores and seller identification names being used and/or controlled by Defendants to promote, offer for sale and/or sell goods bearing counterfeits and/or infringements of Plaintiffs' Marks.
- h. Entry of an order pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a), The All Writs Act, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65, and the Court's inherent authority, that, upon Plaintiffs' request, Defendants and any Internet marketplace website operators and/or administrators who are provided with notice of an injunction issued by the Court, immediately

cease fulfillment of and sequester all goods of each Defendant bearing one or more of Plaintiffs' Marks in its inventory, possession, custody, or control, and surrender those goods to Plaintiffs.

- i. Entry of an order requiring Defendants, their agent(s) or assign(s), to assign all rights, title, and interest, to their Subject Domain Name(s), and any other domains names being used by Defendants to engage in the business of marketing, offering to sell, and/or selling goods bearing counterfeits and infringements of Plaintiffs' Marks, to Plaintiffs and, if within five (5) days of entry of such order Defendants fail to make such an assignment, the Court order the act to be done by another person appointed by the Court at Defendants' expense, such as the Clerk of Court, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 70(a)
- j. Entry of an order requiring Defendants, their agent(s) or assign(s), to instruct all search engines to permanently delist or deindex the Subject Domain Name(s), and any other domains names being used by Defendants to engage in the business of marketing, offering to sell, and/or selling goods bearing counterfeits and infringements of Plaintiffs' Marks, and, if within five (5) days of entry of such order Defendants fail to make such a written instruction, the Court order the act to be done by another person appointed by the Court at Defendants' expense, such as the Clerk of Court, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 70(a).
- k. Entry of an order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a), The All Writs Act, and the Court's inherent authority, authorizing Plaintiffs to serve an injunction issued by the Court on any e-mail service provider with a request that the service provider permanently suspend the e-mail addresses which are or have been used by Defendants in connection with Defendants' promotion, offering for sale, and/or sale of goods using counterfeits, and/or infringements of Plaintiffs' Marks.

- 1. Entry of an order requiring, upon Plaintiffs' request, Defendants to request in writing permanent termination of any messaging services, Seller IDs, usernames, and social media accounts they own, operate, or control on any messaging service and social media platform.
- m. Entry of an order requiring Defendants to account to and pay Plaintiffs for all profits and damages resulting from Defendants' trademark counterfeiting and infringing and unfairly competitive activities and that the award to Plaintiffs be trebled, as provided for under 15 U.S.C. §1117, or that Plaintiffs be awarded statutory damages from each Defendant in the amount of two million dollars (\$2,000,000.00) per each counterfeit trademark used and product type sold, as provided by 15 U.S.C. §1117(c)(2) of the Lanham Act.
- n. Entry of an order requiring Defendant Numbers 1–6 to account to and pay Plaitniffs for all profits and damages resulting from those Defendants' cybersquatting activities and that the award to Plaintiffs be trebled, as provided for under 15 U.S.C. § 1117, or that Plaintiffs be awarded statutory damages from Defendant Numbers 1–6 in the amount of one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000.00) per cybersquatted domain name used as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1117(d) of the Lanham Act.
- o. Entry of an award pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117 (a) and (b) of Plaintiffs' costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and investigative fees associated with bringing this action.
- p. Entry of an order pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a), The All Writs Act, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65, and the Court's inherent authority that, upon Plaintiffs' request, Defendants and any financial institutions, payment processors, banks, escrow services, money transmitters, or marketplace platforms, and their related companies and affiliates, identify and restrain all funds, up to and including the total amount of judgment, in all financial accounts and/or sub-accounts used in connection with the Seller IDs and Subject Domain Names,

or other alias e-commerce stores, social media accounts, photo albums, seller identification names,

user names, private messaging accounts, domain names and/or websites used by Defendants

presently or in the future, as well as any other related accounts of the same customer(s) and any

other accounts which transfer funds into the same financial institution account(s), and remain

restrained until such funds are surrendered to Plaintiffs in partial satisfaction of the monetary

judgment entered herein.

q. Entry of an award of pre-judgment interest on the judgment amount.

r. Entry of an Order requiring Defendants to pay the cost necessary to correct

any erroneous impression the consuming public may have received or derived concerning the

nature, characteristics, or qualities of Defendants' products, including without limitation, the

placement of corrective advertising and providing written notice to the public.

s. Entry of an order for any further relief as the Court may deem just and

proper.

DATED: October 13, 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

STEPHEN M. GAFFIGAN, P.A.

By: Stephen M. Gaffigan

Stephen M. Gaffigan (Fla. Bar No. 025844)

Virgilio Gigante (Fla. Bar No. 082635)

T. Raquel Wiborg-Rodriguez (Fla. Bar. No. 103372)

401 East Las Olas Blvd., Suite 130-453

Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33301

Telephone: (954) 767-4819

E-mail: Stephen@smgpa.net

E il I

E-mail: <u>Leo@smgpa.net</u>

E-mail: Raquel@smgpa.net

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

### **SCHEDULE "A"**

[This page is the subject of Plaintiffs' Motion to File Under Seal. As such, this page has been redacted in accordance with L.R. 5.4(b)(1)]