

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
MIAMI DIVISION

CASE NO.

THE LIVERPOOL FOOTBALL CLUB AND  
ATHLETIC GROUNDS LIMITED,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE PARTNERSHIPS AND  
UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS  
IDENTIFIED ON SCHEDULE “A,”

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

**COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, The Liverpool Football Club and Athletic Grounds Limited (“LFC” or “Plaintiff”), hereby brings the present action against the Partnerships and Unincorporated Associations identified on Schedule A attached hereto (collectively, “Defendants”) and alleges as follows:

**I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. This is an action seeking damages and injunctive relief for trademark counterfeiting and infringement and false designation of origin under the Lanham Act pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114, 1116, 1121, 1125(a), and 1125(d), and The All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a); 15 U.S.C. § 1051 *et seq.*

2. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the claims in this action pursuant to the provisions of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051, *et seq.*, 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a)-(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

3. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, and this Court may properly exercise personal jurisdiction over Defendants since each of the Defendants directly targets business activities toward consumers in the United States through at least the fully interactive, e-commerce stores<sup>1</sup> operating under the seller aliases identified in Schedule A attached hereto (the “Seller Aliases”). Specifically, Defendants have targeted sales to United States residents by setting up and operating e-commerce stores that target United States consumers using one or more Seller Aliases, offer shipping to the United States accept payment in U.S. dollars and/or funds from U.S. bank accounts and, on information and belief, have sold products using infringing and counterfeit versions of Plaintiff’s federally registered trademarks to residents of the United States. Each of the Defendants is committing tortious acts in the United States, is engaging in interstate commerce, and has wrongfully caused Plaintiff substantial injury in the United States.

## II. INTRODUCTION

4. This action has been filed by Plaintiff to combat e-commerce store operators who trade upon Plaintiff’s reputation and goodwill by offering for sale and/or selling unauthorized and unlicensed products, including apparel and other products, using infringing and counterfeit versions of Plaintiff’s federally registered trademarks (the “Counterfeit Products”). Plaintiff LFC is a professional football club participating in the English Premier League (“EPL” or “Premier League”) which is the top level of the English football league system. In collaboration with Premier League, Plaintiff has established a program of trademark protection and enforcement. Premier League and Plaintiff regularly investigates suspicious e-commerce stores and enforce their trademark rights to prevent the sale of Counterfeit Products.

---

<sup>1</sup> The e-commerce store URLs are listed on Schedule A hereto under the Online Marketplaces.

5. Defendants create e-commerce stores operating under one or more Seller Aliases that are advertising, offering for sale, and selling Counterfeit Products to unknowing consumers. E-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases share unique identifiers establishing a logical relationship between them and that Defendants' counterfeiting operation arises out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences. Defendants attempt to avoid and mitigate liability by operating under one or more Seller Aliases to conceal both their identities and the full scope and interworking of their counterfeiting operation. Plaintiff is forced to file this action to combat Defendants' counterfeiting of its registered trademarks, as well as to protect unknowing consumers from purchasing Counterfeit Products over the Internet. Plaintiff has been and continues to be irreparably damaged through consumer confusion, dilution, and tarnishment of its valuable trademarks as a result of Defendants' actions and seek injunctive and monetary relief.

### **III. THE PARTIES**

#### **Plaintiff, The Liverpool Football Club and Athletic Grounds Limited**

6. Plaintiff, The Liverpool Football Club and Athletic Grounds Limited ("LFC"), is a professional football club based in Liverpool, England, that competes in the Premier League.

7. LFC is, in part, engaged in the business of producing, manufacturing, and distributing throughout the world, including within this judicial district, premium athletic apparel, accessories, and other products under federally registered trademarks. For generations, LFC has been one of the undisputed leaders in the field of apparel and accessories, including those which prominently display the famous, internationally recognized, and federally registered trademarks of LFC (collectively, the "LFC Products").

8. LFC Products have become enormously popular and even iconic, driven by the brand's arduous quality standards and innovative design. In 2024, the Liverpool Football Club

was ranked by Forbes magazine as the world's fourth-most-valuable football club, valued at \$5.37 billion.<sup>2</sup> Among the purchasing public, genuine LFC Products are instantly recognizable as such. In the United States and around the world, the LFC brand has come to symbolize high quality and LFC Products are among the most recognizable apparel and accessories in the world.





9. LFC Products are distributed and sold to consumers through retailers throughout the United States, including authorized retailers such as DICK's Sporting Goods and other sporting goods stores, and through the official liverpoolfc.com website.


10. LFC incorporates a variety of distinctive marks in the design of its various LFC Products. As a result of its long-standing use, LFC owns common law trademark rights in its LFC trademarks. LFC has also registered its trademarks with the United States Patent and Trademark Office. LFC Products typically include at least one of LFC's registered trademarks. Often, several LFC marks are displayed on a single LFC Product. LFC uses its trademarks in connection with the marketing of its LFC Products, including the following marks which are collectively referred to as the "LFC Trademarks."

<b>Registration No.</b>	<b>Trademark</b>
6,816,910	LIVERPOOL FOOTBALL CLUB
6,762,837	LIVERPOOL FC
6,756,305	LFC
6,749,353	YOU'LL NEVER WALK ALONE
6,805,698	YNWA
5,089,345	THE NORMAL ONE

---

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.forbes.com/lists/soccer-valuations/>.

Registration No.	Trademark
3,307,401	
3,867,538	
4,169,888	
6,742,770	

Registration No.	Trademark
6,756,306	

11. The above registrations for the LFC Trademarks are valid, subsisting, in full force and effect, and many are incontestable pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1065. The LFC Trademarks have been used exclusively and continuously by LFC and have never been abandoned. The registrations for the LFC Trademarks constitute *prima facie* evidence of their validity and of LFC's exclusive right to use the LFC Trademarks pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1057(b). Attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** are true and correct copies of the United States Registration Certificates for the LFC Trademarks included in the above table.

12. The LFC Trademarks are exclusive to LFC and are displayed extensively on LFC Products and in LFC's marketing and promotional materials. Typically, one or more of the LFC Trademarks are included on LFC Products. LFC Products have long been among the most popular of their kind in the world and have been extensively promoted and advertised at great expense. In fact, LFC has expended millions of dollars annually in advertising, promoting, and marketing featuring the LFC Trademarks. LFC Products have also been the subject of extensive unsolicited publicity resulting from their high-quality, innovative designs, and renown as desired items. Because of these and other factors, the LFC name and the LFC Trademarks have become famous throughout the United States.

13. The LFC Trademarks are distinctive when applied to the LFC Products, signifying to purchasers that the products come from LFC and are manufactured to LFC's quality standards. Whether LFC manufactures the products itself or licenses others to do so, LFC has ensured that products bearing its trademarks are manufactured to the highest quality standards. The LFC Trademarks have achieved tremendous fame and recognition which has only added to the inherent distinctiveness of the marks. As such, the goodwill associated with the LFC Trademarks is of incalculable and inestimable value to LFC.

14. For many years, LFC Products have been promoted and sold at the official liverpoolfc.com website. Sales of LFC Products via the liverpoolfc.com website are significant. The liverpoolfc.com website features proprietary content, images, and designs exclusive to LFC.

15. LFC's innovative marketing and product designs have enabled LFC to achieve widespread recognition and fame, making the LFC Trademarks some of the most well-known marks in the industry. The widespread fame, outstanding reputation, and significant goodwill associated with the LFC brand have made the LFC Trademarks valuable assets of LFC.

16. LFC has expended substantial time, money, and other resources in developing, advertising, and otherwise promoting the LFC Trademarks. As a result, products bearing the LFC Trademarks are widely recognized and exclusively associated by consumers, the public, and the trade as being high-quality products sourced from LFC. LFC is a multi-million-dollar operation and LFC Products have become among the most popular of their kind in the world.

### **The Defendants**

17. Defendants are individuals and business entities of unknown makeup who own and/or operate one or more of the e-commerce stores under at least the Seller Aliases identified on Schedule A and/or other seller aliases not yet known to Plaintiff. On information and belief, Defendants reside and/or operate in the People's Republic of China or other foreign jurisdictions

with lax trademark enforcement systems, or redistribute products from the same or similar sources in those locations. Defendants have the capacity to be sued pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17(b).

18. On information and belief, Defendants, either individually or jointly, operate one or more e-commerce stores under the Seller Aliases listed in Schedule A attached hereto. Tactics used by Defendants to conceal their identities and the full scope of their operation make it virtually impossible for Plaintiff to learn Defendants' true identities and the exact interworking of their counterfeit network. If Defendants provide additional credible information regarding their identities, Plaintiff will take appropriate steps to amend the Complaint.

#### **IV. DEFENDANTS' UNLAWFUL CONDUCT**

19. The success of the LFC brand has resulted in significant counterfeiting of the LFC Trademarks. In recent years, Plaintiff has identified many fully interactive, e-commerce stores offering Counterfeit Products on online marketplace platforms such as Amazon, Alibaba, PayPal, and Temu, including the e-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases. The Seller Aliases target consumers in this Judicial District and throughout the United States. According to a U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) report, in 2021, CBP made over 27,000 seizures of goods with intellectual property rights (IPR) violations totaling over \$3.3 billion, an increase of \$2.0 billion from 2020.<sup>3</sup> Of the 27,000 in total IPR seizures, over 24,000 came through international mail and express courier services (as opposed to containers), most of which originated from China and Hong Kong.<sup>4</sup>

20. Third party service providers like those used by Defendants do not adequately subject new sellers to verification and confirmation of their identities, allowing counterfeiters to

---

<sup>3</sup> See *Intellectual Property Rights Seizure Statistics, Fiscal Year 2021*, U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

<sup>4</sup> *Id.*

“routinely use false or inaccurate names and addresses when registering with these e-commerce platforms.”<sup>5</sup> Counterfeiters hedge against the risk of being caught and having their websites taken down from an e-commerce platform by preemptively establishing multiple virtual storefronts.<sup>6</sup> Since platforms generally do not require a seller on a third-party marketplace to identify the underlying business entity, counterfeiters can have many different profiles that can appear unrelated even though they are commonly owned and operated.<sup>7</sup> Further, “E-commerce platforms create bureaucratic or technical hurdles in helping brand owners to locate or identify sources of counterfeits and counterfeiters.”<sup>8</sup>

21. Defendants have targeted sales to United States residents by setting up and operating e-commerce stores that target United States consumers using one or more Seller Aliases, offer shipping to the United States accept payment in U.S. dollars and/or funds from U.S. bank accounts and, on information and belief, have sold Counterfeit Products to residents of the United States.

22. Defendants concurrently employ and benefit from substantially similar advertising and marketing strategies. For example, Defendants facilitate sales by designing the e-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases so that they appear to unknowing consumers to be authorized online retailers, outlet stores, or wholesalers. E-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases appear sophisticated and accept payment in U.S. dollars and/or funds from U.S. bank accounts via credit cards, Alipay, Amazon Pay, and/or PayPal. E-commerce stores operating

---

<sup>5</sup> See Daniel C.K. Chow, *Alibaba, Amazon, and Counterfeiting in the Age of the Internet*, 40 NW. J. INT’L L. & BUS. 157, 186 (2020); see also report on “Combating Trafficking in Counterfeit and Pirated Goods” prepared by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security’s Office of Strategy, Policy, and Plans (Jan. 24, 2020), and finding that on “at least some e-commerce platforms, little identifying information is necessary for a counterfeiter to begin selling” and recommending that “[s]ignificantly enhanced vetting of third-party sellers” is necessary.

<sup>6</sup> *Id.* at p. 22.

<sup>7</sup> *Id.* at p. 39.

<sup>8</sup> Chow, *supra* note 5, at p. 186-87.

under the Seller Aliases often include content and images that make it very difficult for consumers to distinguish such stores from an authorized retailer. Plaintiff has not licensed or authorized Defendants to use any of the LFC Trademarks, and none of the Defendants are authorized retailers of genuine LFC Products.

23. Many Defendants also deceive unknowing consumers by using the LFC Trademarks without authorization within the content, text, and/or meta tags of their e-commerce stores to attract various search engines crawling the Internet looking for websites relevant to consumer searches for LFC Products. Other e-commerce stores operating under Seller Aliases omit using the LFC Trademarks in the item title to evade enforcement efforts while using strategic item titles and descriptions that will trigger their listings when consumers are searching for LFC Products.

24. E-commerce store operators like Defendants commonly engage in fraudulent conduct when registering the Seller Aliases by providing false, misleading, and/or incomplete information to e-commerce platforms to prevent discovery of their true identities and the scope of their e-commerce operation.

25. E-commerce store operators like Defendants regularly register or acquire new seller aliases for the purpose of offering for sale and selling Counterfeit Products. Such seller alias registration patterns are one of many common tactics used by e-commerce store operators like Defendants to conceal their identities and the full scope and interworking of their counterfeiting operation, and to avoid being shut down.

26. Even though Defendants operate under multiple fictitious aliases, the e-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases often share unique identifiers such as templates with common design elements that intentionally omit any contact information or other information for identifying Defendants or other Seller Aliases they operate or use. E-commerce stores operating

under the Seller Aliases include other notable common features such as use of the same registration patterns, accepted payment methods, check-out methods, keywords, advertising tactics, similarities in price and quantities, the same incorrect grammar and misspellings, and/or the use of the same text and images. Additionally, Counterfeit Products for sale by the Seller Aliases bear similar irregularities and indicia of being counterfeit to one another, suggesting that the Counterfeit Products were manufactured by and come from a common source and that Defendants are interrelated.

27. E-commerce store operators like Defendants are in constant communication with each other and regularly participate in QQ.com chat rooms and through websites such as sellerdefense.cn and kuajingvs.com regarding tactics for operating multiple accounts, evading detection, pending litigation, and potential new lawsuits.

28. Counterfeiters such as Defendants typically operate under multiple seller aliases and payment accounts so that they can continue operation despite Plaintiff's enforcement. E-commerce store operators like Defendants maintain off-shore bank accounts and regularly move funds from their financial accounts to off-shore accounts outside the jurisdiction of this Court to avoid payment of any monetary judgment awarded to Plaintiff. Indeed, analysis of financial account transaction logs from previous similar cases indicates that off-shore counterfeiters regularly move funds from U.S.-based financial accounts to off-shore accounts outside the jurisdiction of this Court.

29. Defendants are working to knowingly and willfully import, distribute, offer for sale, and sell Counterfeit Products in the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences. Defendants, without any authorization or license from Plaintiff, knowingly and willfully used and continue to use the LFC Trademarks in connection with the advertisement,

distribution, offering for sale, and sale of Counterfeit Products into the United States over the Internet.

30. Defendants' unauthorized use of the LFC Trademarks in connection with the advertising, distribution, offering for sale, and sale of Counterfeit Products, including the sale of Counterfeit Products into the United States is likely to cause and has caused confusion, mistake, and deception by and among consumers and is irreparably harming Plaintiff.

**COUNT I**  
**TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT AND COUNTERFEITING (15 U.S.C. § 1114)**

31. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

32. This is a trademark infringement action against Defendants based on their unauthorized use in commerce of counterfeit imitations of the federally registered LFC Trademarks in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution, and/or advertising of infringing goods. The LFC Trademarks are highly distinctive marks. Consumers have come to expect the highest quality from LFC Products offered, sold, or marketed under the LFC Trademarks.

33. Defendants have sold, offered to sell, marketed, distributed, and advertised, and are still selling, offering to sell, marketing, distributing, and advertising products using counterfeit reproductions of the LFC Trademarks without Plaintiff's permission.

34. Plaintiff is the exclusive owner of the LFC Trademarks. Plaintiff's United States Registrations for the LFC Trademarks (**Exhibit 1**) are in full force and effect. On information and belief, Defendants have knowledge of Plaintiff's rights in the LFC Trademarks and are willfully infringing and intentionally using counterfeits of the LFC Trademarks. Defendants' willful, intentional, and unauthorized use of the LFC Trademarks is likely to cause and is causing

confusion, mistake, and deception as to the origin and quality of the Counterfeit Products among the general public.

35. Defendants' activities constitute willful trademark infringement and counterfeiting under Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

36. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law and, if Defendants' actions are not enjoined, Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable harm to its reputation and the goodwill of the LFC Trademarks.

37. The injuries and damages sustained by Plaintiff have been directly and proximately caused by Defendants' wrongful reproduction, use, advertisement, promotion, offering to sell, and sale of Counterfeit Products.

**COUNT II**  
**FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN (15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))**

38. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

39. Defendants' promotion, marketing, offering for sale, and sale of Counterfeit Products has created and is creating a likelihood of confusion, mistake, and deception among the general public as to the affiliation, connection, or association with Plaintiff, or the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Defendants' Counterfeit Products by Plaintiff.

40. By using the LFC Trademarks in connection with the sale of Counterfeit Products, Defendants create a false designation of origin and a misleading representation of fact as to the origin and sponsorship of the Counterfeit Products.

41. Defendants' false designation of origin and misrepresentation of fact as to the origin and/or sponsorship of the Counterfeit Products to the general public involves the use of counterfeit marks and is a willful violation of Section 43 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125.

42. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law and, if Defendants' actions are not enjoined, Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable harm to its reputation and the associated goodwill of Plaintiff's brand.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment on all Counts of this Complaint and an award of equitable relief and monetary relief against Defendants as follows :

- 1) Entry of temporary, preliminary, and permanent injunctions pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a), The All Writs Act, and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65 enjoining Defendants, their agents, representatives, servants, employees, and all those acting in concert or participation therewith from:
  - a. using the LFC Trademarks or any reproductions, counterfeit copies, or colorable imitations thereof in any manner in connection with the distribution, marketing, advertising, offering for sale, or sale of any product that is not a genuine LFC Product or is not authorized by Plaintiff to be sold in connection with the LFC Trademarks;
  - b. passing off, inducing, or enabling others to sell or pass off any product as a genuine LFC Product or any other product produced by Plaintiff, that is not Plaintiff's or not produced under the authorization, control, or supervision of Plaintiff and approved by Plaintiff for sale under the LFC Trademarks;
  - c. committing any acts calculated to cause consumers to believe that Defendants' Counterfeit Products are those sold under the authorization, control, or supervision of Plaintiff, or are sponsored by, approved by, or otherwise connected with Plaintiff;
  - d. further infringing the LFC Trademarks and damaging Plaintiff's goodwill; and
  - e. manufacturing, shipping, delivering, holding for sale, transferring, or otherwise moving, storing, distributing, returning, or otherwise disposing of, in any manner,

- products or inventory not manufactured by or for Plaintiff, nor authorized by Plaintiff to be sold or offered for sale, and which bear any of Plaintiff's trademarks, including the LFC Trademarks, or any reproductions, counterfeit copies, or colorable imitations thereof;
- 2) Entry of a temporary restraining order, as well as preliminary and permanent injunctions pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a), The All Writs Act, and the Court's inherent authority enjoining Defendants and those with notice of the injunction including, without limitation, any online marketplace platforms such as Amazon, Alibaba, PayPal, and Temu (collectively, the "Third Party Providers") from participating in, including providing financial, technical, and advertising services or other support to, Defendants in connection with the sale of counterfeit and infringing goods using the LFC Trademarks;
  - 3) Entry of an order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a), The All Writs Act, and the Court's inherent authority that upon Plaintiff's request, the Third Party Providers who have notice of the injunction, cease hosting, facilitating access to, or providing any supporting service to any and all e-commerce stores, including but not limited to the Seller Aliases, through which Defendants engage in the promotion, offering for sale and/or sale of goods bearing and/or using counterfeits and/or infringements of the LFC Trademarks;
  - 4) Entry of an order requiring Defendants account for and pay to Plaintiff all profits realized by Defendants by reason of Defendants' unlawful acts herein alleged, and that the amount of damages for infringement of the LFC Trademarks be increased by a sum not exceeding three times the amount thereof as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1117;
  - 5) In the alternative, entry of an order that Plaintiff be awarded statutory damages for willful trademark counterfeiting pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(c)(2) of \$2,000,000 for each and every use of the LFC Trademarks;

- 6) Entry of an award pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117 (a) and (b) of Plaintiff's costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and investigative fees associated with bringing this action; and
- 7) Award any and all other relief that this Court deems just and proper.

Dated this 28th day of March 2025.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ David Wokoun  
David Wokoun (100824)  
dwokoun@gbc.law  
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.  
200 West Madison St., Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
Tel: 312.360.0080  
Fax: 312.360.9315

-and-

/s/ David B. Rosenberg  
David B. Rosenberg, P.A. (0582239)  
david@rosemberglaw.com  
ROSEMBERG LAW  
20200 W. Dixie Hwy., Ste. 602  
Aventura, Florida 33180  
Tel: 305.602.2008  
Fax: 305.602.0225

*Counsel for Plaintiff*  
*The Liverpool Football Club and Athletic Grounds*  
*Limited*

**SCHEDULE A**

This page is the subject of Plaintiff's concurrently filed Motion to File Under Seal. As such, this page has been redacted in accordance with L.R. 5.4(b)(1).