IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

ABC CORPORATION, Plaintiff, v. THE PARTNERSHIPS and UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED ON SCHEDULE "A", Defendants.	Case No. 20-cv-03548

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff ABC Corporation ("Plaintiff") hereby brings the present action against the Partnerships and Unincorporated Associations identified on Schedule A attached hereto (collectively, "Defendants") and alleges as follows:[1]

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the claims in this action pursuant to the provisions of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051, *et seq.*, the Copyright Act 17 U.S.C. § 501, *et seq.*, 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a)-(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

2. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, and this Court may properly exercise personal jurisdiction over Defendants since each of the Defendants directly targets business activities toward consumers in the United States, including Illinois, through at

[1] Since it is unknown when Plaintiff's forthcoming Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order will be ruled on, Plaintiff's name has been removed to prevent Defendants from getting advanced notice. Plaintiff is listed on the trademark and copyright certificates filed under seal as Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 2, and Plaintiff will file an Amended Complaint under seal that identifies Plaintiff and provides additional allegations.

least the fully interactive, e-commerce stores[2] operating under the seller aliases identified in Schedule A attached hereto (collectively, the "Seller Aliases"). Specifically, Defendants have targeted sales to Illinois residents by setting up and operating e-commerce stores that target United States consumers using one or more Seller Aliases, offer shipping to the United States, including Illinois, accept payment in U.S. dollars and, on information and belief, have sold products using infringing and counterfeit versions of Plaintiff's federally registered trademarks and/or unauthorized copies of Plaintiff's copyrighted designs to residents of Illinois. Each of the Defendants is committing tortious acts in Illinois, is engaging in interstate commerce, and has wrongfully caused Plaintiff substantial injury in the State of Illinois.

II. INTRODUCTION

3. This action has been filed by Plaintiff to combat e-commerce store operators who trade upon Plaintiff's reputation and goodwill by offering for sale and/or selling unauthorized and unlicensed products using infringing and counterfeit versions of Plaintiff's federally registered trademarks and/or unauthorized copies of Plaintiff's copyrighted designs (collectively, the "Unauthorized Products"). Defendants create e-commerce stores operating under one or more Seller Aliases that are advertising, offering for sale and selling Unauthorized Products to unknowing consumers. E-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases share unique identifiers establishing a logical relationship between them and that Defendants' counterfeiting operation arises out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences. Defendants attempt to avoid and mitigate liability by operating under one or more Seller Aliases to conceal both their identities and the full scope and interworking of their operation. Plaintiff is forced to file this action to combat Defendants' counterfeiting of its registered trademarks and

[2] The e-commerce store urls are listed on Schedule A hereto under the Online Marketplaces and Domain Names.

infringement of its registered copyrighted designs, as well as to protect unknowing consumers from purchasing Unauthorized Products over the Internet. Plaintiff has been, and continues to be, irreparably damaged through consumer confusion, dilution, and tarnishment of its valuable trademarks and of its copyrighted designs as a result of Defendants' actions and seeks injunctive and monetary relief.

III. THE PARTIES

Plaintiff

4. Plaintiff designs, manufactures and sells products, which prominently display the famous, internationally recognized, and federally registered Plaintiff's trademarks (collectively, the "Plaintiff's Products"). Plaintiff's Products have become enormously popular and even iconic, driven by Plaintiff's arduous quality standards and innovative design. Among the purchasing public, genuine Plaintiff's Products are instantly recognizable as such. In the United States and around the world, Plaintiff's brand has come to symbolize high quality, and Plaintiff's Products are among the most recognizable of their kind in the world.

5. Plaintiff incorporates a variety of distinctive marks in the design of its various Plaintiff's Products. As a result of its long-standing use, Plaintiff owns common law trademark rights in its Plaintiff's trademarks. Plaintiff has also registered its trademarks with the United States Patent and Trademark Office, including the marks for which true and correct copies of the United States Registration Certificates are included in **Exhibit 1** attached hereto (collectively referred to as the "Plaintiff's Trademarks").

6. The U.S. registrations for Plaintiff's Trademarks are valid, subsisting, in full force and effect, and some are incontestable pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1065. The registrations for the Plaintiff's Trademarks constitute *prima facie* evidence of their validity and of Plaintiff's

exclusive right to use the Plaintiff's Trademarks pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1057(b). Plaintiff's Trademarks have been used exclusively and continuously by Plaintiff for many years and have never been abandoned.

7. Plaintiff's Trademarks are exclusive to Plaintiff, and are displayed extensively on Plaintiff's Products and in Plaintiff's marketing and promotional materials. Typically, at least one of the Plaintiff's Trademarks are included on Plaintiff's Products. Plaintiff's Products have been extensively promoted and advertised at great expense. In fact, Plaintiff has expended significant resources annually in advertising, promoting and marketing featuring the Plaintiff's Trademarks. Because of these and other factors, Plaintiff's name and the Plaintiff's Trademarks have become famous throughout the United States.

8. Plaintiff's Trademarks are distinctive when applied to the Plaintiff's Products, signifying to the purchaser that the products come from Plaintiff and are manufactured to Plaintiff's quality standards. Whether Plaintiff manufactures the products itself or licenses others to do so, Plaintiff has ensured that products bearing its trademarks are manufactured to the highest quality standards. Plaintiff's Trademarks have achieved tremendous fame and recognition, which has only added to the inherent distinctiveness of the marks. As such, the goodwill associated with the Plaintiff's Trademarks is of incalculable and inestimable value to Plaintiff.

9. Plaintiff operates a website where it promotes and sells genuine Plaintiff's Products. Sales of Plaintiff's Products via Plaintiff's website represent a significant portion of Plaintiff's business. Plaintiff's website features proprietary content, images and designs exclusive to Plaintiff.

10. Plaintiff's innovative marketing and product designs have enabled Plaintiff to achieve widespread recognition and fame and have made the Plaintiff's Trademarks some of the most well-known marks in Plaintiff's industry. The widespread fame, outstanding reputation, and significant goodwill associated with Plaintiff's brand have made the Plaintiff's Trademarks valuable assets of Plaintiff.

11. Plaintiff has expended substantial time, money, and other resources in developing, advertising and otherwise promoting the Plaintiff's Trademarks. As a result, products bearing the Plaintiff's Trademarks are widely recognized and exclusively associated by consumers, the public, and the trade as being high-quality products sourced from Plaintiff. Plaintiff's Products have become among the most popular of their kind in the world.

12. Plaintiff has also registered many of its designs with the United States Copyright Office (the "Plaintiff's Copyrighted Designs"). True and correct copies of the U.S. federal copyright registration certificates for the Plaintiff's Copyrighted Designs are attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**. Among the exclusive rights granted to Plaintiff under the U.S. Copyright Act are the exclusive rights to reproduce, prepare derivative works of, distribute copies of, and display the Plaintiff's Copyrighted Designs to the public.

The Defendants

13. Defendants are individuals and business entities of unknown makeup who own and/or operate one or more of the e-commerce stores under at least the Seller Aliases identified on Schedule A and/or other seller aliases not yet known to Plaintiff. On information and belief, Defendants reside and/or operate in the People's Republic of China or other foreign jurisdictions with lax trademark enforcement systems, or redistribute products from the same or similar

sources in those locations. Defendants have the capacity to be sued pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17(b).

14. On information and belief, Defendants either individually or jointly, operate one or more e-commerce stores under the Seller Aliases listed in Schedule A attached hereto. Tactics used by Defendants to conceal their identities and the full scope of their operation make it virtually impossible for Plaintiff to learn Defendants' true identities and the exact interworking of their counterfeit network. If Defendants provide additional credible information regarding their identities, Plaintiff will take appropriate steps to amend the Complaint.

IV. DEFENDANTS' UNLAWFUL CONDUCT

15. The success of Plaintiff's brand has resulted in its significant counterfeiting. Consequently, Plaintiff has a worldwide anti-counterfeiting program and regularly investigates suspicious e-commerce stores identified in proactive Internet sweeps and reported by consumers. In recent years, Plaintiff has identified numerous fully interactive e-commerce stores, including those operating under the Seller Aliases, which were offering for sale and/or selling Unauthorized Products to consumers in this Judicial District and throughout the United States. E-commerce sales, including through e-commerce stores like those of Defendants, have resulted in a sharp increase in the shipment of unauthorized products into the United States. **Exhibit 3**, Excerpts from Fiscal Year 2018 U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") Intellectual Property Seizure Statistics Report. Over 90% of all CBP intellectual property seizures were smaller international mail and express shipments (as opposed to large shipping containers). *Id.* Over 85% of CBP seizures originated from mainland China and Hong Kong. *Id.* Counterfeit and pirated products account for billions in economic losses, resulting in tens of thousands of lost jobs for legitimate businesses and broader economic losses, including lost tax revenue.

16. Third party service providers like those used by Defendants do not adequately subject new sellers to verification and confirmation of their identities, allowing counterfeiters to "routinely use false or inaccurate names and addresses when registering with these e-commerce platforms." **Exhibit 4**, Daniel C.K. Chow, *Alibaba, Amazon, and Counterfeiting in the Age of the Internet*, 40 NW. J. INT'L L. & BUS. 157, 186 (2020); *see also* report on "Combating Trafficking in Counterfeit and Pirated Goods" prepared by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security's Office of Strategy, Policy, and Plans (Jan. 24, 2020), attached as **Exhibit 5**, and finding that on "at least some e-commerce platforms, little identifying information is necessary for a counterfeiter to begin selling" and recommending that "[s]ignificantly enhanced vetting of third-party sellers" is necessary. Counterfeiters hedge against the risk of being caught and having their websites taken down from an e-commerce platform by preemptively establishing multiple virtual store-fronts. **Exhibit 5** at p. 22. Since platforms generally do not require a seller on a third-party marketplace to identify the underlying business entity, counterfeiters can have many different profiles that can appear unrelated even though they are commonly owned and operated. **Exhibit 5** at p. 39. Further, "E-commerce platforms create bureaucratic or technical hurdles in helping brand owners to locate or identify sources of counterfeits and counterfeiters." **Exhibit 4** at 186-187.

17. Defendants have targeted sales to Illinois residents by setting up and operating e-commerce stores that target United States consumers using one or more Seller Aliases, offer shipping to the United States, including Illinois, accept payment in U.S. dollars and, on information and belief, have sold Unauthorized Products to residents of Illinois.

18. Defendants concurrently employ and benefit from substantially similar advertising and marketing strategies. For example, Defendants facilitate sales by designing the

e-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases so that they appear to unknowing consumers to be authorized online retailers, outlet stores, or wholesalers. E-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases look sophisticated and accept payment in U.S. dollars via credit cards, Alipay, Western Union, PayPal, and/or Amazon Pay. E-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases often include content and images that make it very difficult for consumers to distinguish such stores from an authorized retailer. Plaintiff has not licensed or authorized Defendants to use any of Plaintiff's Trademarks or copy or distribute the Plaintiff's Copyrighted Designs, and none of the Defendants are authorized retailers of genuine Plaintiff's Products.

19. Many Defendants also deceive unknowing consumers by using the Plaintiff's Trademarks and/or Plaintiff's Copyrighted Designs without authorization within the content, text, and/or meta tags of their e-commerce stores in order to attract various search engines crawling the Internet looking for e-commerce stores relevant to consumer searches for Plaintiff's Products. Other e-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases only show Plaintiff's Trademarks and/or Plaintiff's Copyrighted Designs in product images, while using strategic item titles and descriptions that will trigger their listings when consumers are searching for Plaintiff's Products.

20. On information and belief, Defendants have engaged in fraudulent conduct when registering the Seller Aliases by providing false, misleading and/or incomplete information to e-commerce platforms. On information and belief, certain Defendants have anonymously registered and maintained Seller Aliases to prevent discovery of their true identities and the scope of their e-commerce operation.

21. On information and belief, Defendants regularly register or acquire new seller aliases for the purpose of offering for sale and selling Unauthorized Products. Such seller alias registration patterns are one of many common tactics used by the Defendants to conceal their identities, the full scope and interworking of their counterfeiting operation, and to avoid being shut down.

22. Even though Defendants operate under multiple fictitious aliases, the e-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases often share unique identifiers, such as templates with common design elements that intentionally omit any contact information or other information for identifying Defendants or other Seller Aliases they operate or use. E-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases include other notable common features, such as use of the same registration patterns accepted payment methods, check-out methods, keywords, illegitimate search engine optimization (SEO), advertising tactics, similarities in price and quantities, the same incorrect grammar and misspellings, and/or the use of the same text and images. Additionally, Unauthorized Products for sale by the Seller Aliases bear similar irregularities and indicia of being counterfeit to one another, suggesting that the Unauthorized Products were manufactured by and come from a common source and that Defendants are interrelated.

23. On information and belief, Defendants are in constant communication with each other and regularly participate in QQ.com chat rooms and through websites such as sellerdefense.cn, kaidianyo.com and kuajingvs.com regarding tactics for operating multiple accounts, evading detection, pending litigation, and potential new lawsuits.

24. Counterfeiters such as Defendants typically operate under multiple seller aliases and payment accounts so that they can continue operation in spite of Plaintiff's enforcement efforts. On information and belief, Defendants maintain off-shore accounts and regularly move

funds from their financial accounts to off-shore bank accounts outside the jurisdiction of this Court to avoid payment of any monetary judgment awarded to Plaintiff. Indeed, analysis of financial account transaction logs from previous similar cases indicates that off-shore counterfeiters regularly move funds from financial accounts to off-shore accounts outside the jurisdiction of this Court.

25. On information and belief, Defendants are an interrelated group of counterfeiters working in active concert to knowingly and willfully manufacture, import, distribute, offer for sale, and sell Unauthorized Products in the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences. Defendants, without any authorization or license from Plaintiff, have jointly and severally, knowingly and willfully used and continue to use the Plaintiff's Trademarks and/or copies of the Plaintiff's Copyrighted Designs in connection with the advertisement, distribution, offering for sale, and sale of Unauthorized Products into the United States and Illinois over the Internet.

26. Defendants' unauthorized use of the Plaintiff's Trademarks and/or copies of the Plaintiff's Copyrighted Designs in connection with the advertising, distribution, offering for sale, and sale of Unauthorized Products, including the sale of Unauthorized Products into the United States, including Illinois, is likely to cause and has caused confusion, mistake, and deception by and among consumers and is irreparably harming Plaintiff.

COUNT I
TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT AND COUNTERFEITING (15 U.S.C. § 1114)

27. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

28. This is a trademark infringement action against Defendants based on their unauthorized use in commerce of counterfeit imitations of the federally registered Plaintiff's

Trademarks in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution, and/or advertising of infringing goods. The Plaintiff's Trademarks are highly distinctive marks. Consumers have come to expect the highest quality from Plaintiff's Products offered, sold or marketed under the Plaintiff's Trademarks.

29. Defendants have sold, offered to sell, marketed, distributed and advertised, and are still selling, offering to sell, marketing, distributing and advertising products using counterfeit reproductions of the Plaintiff's Trademarks without Plaintiff's permission.

30. Plaintiff is the exclusive owner of the Plaintiff's Trademarks. Plaintiff's United States Registrations for the Plaintiff's Trademarks (Exhibit 1) are in full force and effect. Upon information and belief, Defendants have knowledge of Plaintiff's rights in the Plaintiff's Trademarks, and are willfully infringing and intentionally using counterfeits of the Plaintiff's Trademarks. Defendants' willful, intentional and unauthorized use of the Plaintiff's Trademarks is likely to cause and is causing confusion, mistake, and deception as to the origin and quality of the Unauthorized Products among the general public.

31. Defendants' activities constitute willful trademark infringement and counterfeiting under Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

32. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law, and if Defendants' actions are not enjoined, Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable harm to its reputation and the goodwill of its well-known Plaintiff's Trademarks.

33. The injuries and damages sustained by Plaintiff have been directly and proximately caused by Defendants' wrongful reproduction, use, advertisement, promotion, offering to sell, and sale of Unauthorized Products.

COUNT II
FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN (15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

34. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

35. Defendants' promotion, marketing, offering for sale, and sale of Unauthorized Products has created and is creating a likelihood of confusion, mistake, and deception among the general public as to the affiliation, connection, or association with Plaintiff or the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Defendants' Unauthorized Products by Plaintiff.

36. By using the Plaintiff's Trademarks in connection with the sale of Unauthorized Products, Defendants create a false designation of origin and a misleading representation of fact as to the origin and sponsorship of the Unauthorized Products.

37. Defendants' false designation of origin and misrepresentation of fact as to the origin and/or sponsorship of the Unauthorized Products to the general public involves the use of counterfeit marks and is a willful violation of Section 43 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125.

38. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law and, if Defendants' actions are not enjoined, Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable harm to its reputation and the goodwill of its brand.

COUNT III
COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT OF UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT REGISTRATIONS (17 U.S.C. §§ 106 and 501)

39. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

40. Plaintiff is the owner of valid and enforceable Plaintiff's Copyrighted Designs, which contain certain copyrightable subject matter under 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 and 501, *et seq*.

41. Plaintiff has complied with the registration requirements of 17 U.S.C. § 411(a) for the Plaintiff's Copyrighted Designs and have obtained copyright registrations (Exhibit 2).

42. Defendants do not have any ownership interest in the Plaintiff's Copyrighted Designs. Defendants had access to the Plaintiff's Copyrighted Designs via the internet.

43. Without authorization from Plaintiff, or any right under the law, Defendants have deliberately copied, displayed, distributed, reproduced and/or made derivate works incorporating the Plaintiff's Copyrighted Designs on the Seller Aliases and the corresponding Unauthorized Products. Defendants' derivative works are virtually identical to and/or substantially similar to the look and feel of the Plaintiff's Copyrighted Designs. Such conduct infringes and continues to infringe the Plaintiff's Copyrighted Designs in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 501(a) and 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(1)–(3), (5).

44. Defendants reap the benefits of the unauthorized copying and distribution of the Plaintiff's Copyrighted Designs in the form of revenue and other profits that are driven by the sale of Unauthorized Products.

45. The Defendants have unlawfully appropriated Plaintiff's protectable expression by taking material of substance and value and creating Unauthorized Products that capture the total concept and feel of the Plaintiff's Copyrighted Designs.

46. Upon information and belief, the Defendants' infringement has been willful, intentional, and purposeful, and in disregard of and with indifference to, Plaintiff's rights.

47. The Defendants, by their actions, have damaged Plaintiff in an amount to be determined at trial.

48. Defendants' conduct is causing, and unless enjoined and restrained by this Court will continue to cause, Plaintiff great and irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated or

measured in money. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502, Plaintiff is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction prohibiting further infringement of the Plaintiff's Copyrighted Designs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

1) That Defendants, their affiliates, officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, confederates, and all persons acting for, with, by, through, under, or in active concert with them be temporarily, preliminarily, and permanently enjoined and restrained from:

    a. using Plaintiff's Trademarks or any reproductions, counterfeit copies or colorable imitations thereof in any manner in connection with the distribution, marketing, advertising, offering for sale, or sale of any product that is not a genuine Plaintiff's Product or is not authorized by Plaintiff to be sold in connection with the Plaintiff's Trademarks;

    b. reproducing, distributing copies of, making derivative works of, or publicly displaying the Plaintiff's Copyrighted Designs in any manner without the express authorization of Plaintiff;

    c. passing off, inducing, or enabling others to sell or pass off any product as a genuine Plaintiff's Product or any other product produced by Plaintiff, that is not Plaintiff's or not produced under the authorization, control, or supervision of Plaintiff and approved by Plaintiff for sale under the Plaintiff's Trademarks and/or the Plaintiff's Copyrighted Designs;

d. committing any acts calculated to cause consumers to believe that Defendants' Unauthorized Products are those sold under the authorization, control or supervision of Plaintiff, or are sponsored by, approved by, or otherwise connected with Plaintiff;

e. further infringing the Plaintiff's Trademarks and/or the Plaintiff's Copyrighted Designs and damaging Plaintiff's goodwill; and

f. manufacturing, shipping, delivering, holding for sale, transferring or otherwise moving, storing, distributing, returning, or otherwise disposing of, in any manner, products or inventory not manufactured by or for Plaintiff, nor authorized by Plaintiff to be sold or offered for sale, and which bear any of Plaintiff's trademarks, including the Plaintiff's Trademarks, or any reproductions, counterfeit copies or colorable imitations thereof and/or which bear the Plaintiff's Copyrighted Designs;

2) Entry of an Order that, at Plaintiffs' choosing, the registrant of the Domain Names shall be changed from the current registrant to Plaintiff, and that the domain name registries for the Domain Names, including, but not limited to, VeriSign, Inc., Neustar, Inc., Afilias Limited, CentralNic, Nominet, and the Public Interest Registry, shall unlock and change the registrar of record for the Domain Names to a registrar of Plaintiff's selection, and that the domain name registrars, including, but not limited to, GoDaddy Operating Company, LLC ("GoDaddy"), Name.com, PDR LTD. d/b/a PublicDomainRegistry.com ("PDR"), and Namecheap Inc. ("Namecheap"), shall take any steps necessary to transfer the Domain Names to a registrar account of Plaintiff's selection; or that the same domain name registries shall disable the Domain Names and make them inactive and untransferable;

3) Entry of an Order that, upon Plaintiff's request, those with notice of the injunction, including, without limitation, any online marketplace platforms such as eBay, AliExpress, Alibaba,

Amazon, Wish.com and Dhgate (collectively, the "Third Party Providers") shall disable and cease displaying any advertisements used by or associated with Defendants in connection with the sale of counterfeit and infringing goods using the Plaintiff's Trademarks and/or which bear the Plaintiff's Copyrighted Designs;

4) That Defendants account for and pay to Plaintiff all profits realized by Defendants by reason of Defendants' unlawful acts herein alleged, and that the amount of damages for infringement of the Plaintiff's Trademarks be increased by a sum not exceeding three times the amount thereof as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1117;

5) In the alternative, that Plaintiff be awarded statutory damages for willful trademark counterfeiting pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(c)(2) of $2,000,000 for each and every use of the Plaintiff's Trademarks;

6) As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' infringement of the Plaintiff's Copyrighted Designs, Plaintiff is entitled to damages, as well as Defendants' profits, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(b);

7) Alternatively, and at Plaintiff's election prior to any final judgment being entered, Plaintiff is entitled to the maximum amount of statutory damages provided by law, $150,000 per work infringed pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), or for any other such amount as may be proper pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c);

8) Plaintiff is further entitled to recover its attorneys' fees and full costs for bringing this action pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505 and 17 U.S.C. § 1117(a); and

9) Award any and all other relief that this Court deems just and proper.

Dated this 17th day of June 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Justin R. Gaudio

Amy C. Ziegler
Justin R. Gaudio
Allyson M. Martin
Abby M. Neu
Greer, Burns & Crain, Ltd.
300 South Wacker Drive, Suite 2500
Chicago, Illinois 60606
312.360.0080
312.360.9315 (facsimile)
aziegler@gbc.law
jgaudio@gbc.law
amartin@gbc.law
aneu@gbc.law

Counsel for Plaintiff