

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

ABC CORPORATION, DEF CORPORATION
and GHI CORPORATION,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THE PARTNERSHIPS AND
UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS
IDENTIFIED ON SCHEDULE “A,”

Defendants.

Case No. 20-cv-03799

COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs ABC Corporation, DEF Corporation and GHI Corporation (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) hereby bring the present action against the Partnerships and Unincorporated Associations identified on Schedule A attached hereto (collectively, “Defendants”) and allege as follows:¹

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the claims in this action pursuant to the provisions of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051, *et seq.*, the Copyright Act 17 U.S.C. § 501, *et seq.*, 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a)–(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

2. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, and this Court may properly exercise personal jurisdiction over Defendants since each of the Defendants directly

¹ Since it is unknown when Plaintiffs’ forthcoming Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order will be ruled on, Plaintiffs’ names have been removed to prevent Defendants from getting advanced notice. Plaintiffs are listed on the trademark and copyright certificates filed under seal as Exhibits 1-5, and Plaintiffs will file an Amended Complaint under seal that identifies Plaintiffs and provides additional allegations.

targets business activities toward consumers in the United States, including Illinois, through at least the fully interactive e-commerce stores² operating under the seller aliases identified in Schedule A attached hereto (collectively, the “Seller Aliases”). Specifically, Defendants have targeted sales to Illinois residents by setting up and operating e-commerce stores that target United States consumers using one or more Seller Aliases, offer shipping to the United States, including Illinois, accept payment in U.S. dollars and, on information and belief, have sold products using infringing and counterfeit versions of Plaintiffs’ trademarks and/or unauthorized copies of Plaintiffs’ federally registered copyrighted designs to residents of Illinois. Each of the Defendants is committing tortious acts in Illinois, is engaging in interstate commerce, and has wrongfully caused Plaintiffs substantial injury in the State of Illinois.

II. INTRODUCTION

3. This action has been filed by Plaintiffs to combat e-commerce store operators who trade upon Plaintiffs’ reputation and goodwill by selling and/or offering for sale unauthorized and unlicensed products using infringing and counterfeit versions of Plaintiffs’ registered trademarks and/or unauthorized copies of Plaintiffs’ federally registered copyrighted designs (collectively, the “Unauthorized Products”). Defendants create e-commerce stores operating under one or more Seller Aliases that are advertising, offering for sale and selling Unauthorized Products to unknowing consumers. E-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases share unique identifiers establishing a logical relationship between them and that Defendants’ counterfeiting operation arises out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences. Defendants attempt to avoid and mitigate liability by operating under one or more Seller Aliases to conceal both their identities and the full scope and interworking of their counterfeiting operation. Plaintiffs are forced to file this action to combat Defendants’

² The e-commerce store urls are listed on Schedule A hereto under the Online Marketplaces.

counterfeiting of Plaintiffs' registered trademarks and infringement of their registered copyrighted designs, as well as to protect unknowing consumers from purchasing Unauthorized Products over the Internet. Plaintiffs have been and continue to be irreparably damaged through consumer confusion, dilution, and tarnishment of their valuable trademarks and infringement of their copyrighted designs as a result of Defendants' actions and seek injunctive and monetary relief.

III. THE PARTIES

Plaintiffs

4. Plaintiffs are internationally recognized brands which design, manufacture, distribute, and sell a wide variety of products including upscale, casual, and classic American clothing, accessories, and other goods for men and women (collectively, the "Plaintiffs' Products"). Plaintiffs' Products are renowned for their high quality and style and are identified and recognized using the Plaintiffs' names, copyrighted designs, and trademarks.

5. Plaintiffs incorporate a variety of distinctive marks in the design of their various Plaintiffs' Products. As a result of their long-standing use, Plaintiffs own common law trademark rights in their trademarks. Plaintiffs have also registered their trademarks with the United States Patent and Trademark Office, including the marks for which true and correct copies of the United States Registration Certificates are included in **Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, and Exhibit 3** attached hereto (collectively, the "Plaintiff's Trademarks").

6. The registrations for the Plaintiffs' Trademarks are valid, subsisting, in full force and effect, and many are incontestable pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1065. The registrations for the Plaintiffs' Trademarks constitute *prima facie* evidence of their validity and of Plaintiffs' exclusive right to use the Plaintiffs' Trademarks pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1057 (b).

7. Plaintiffs' Products include at least one of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks and/or Plaintiffs' copyrighted designs (the "Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs"). True and correct copies of the U.S. federal copyright registration certificates for the Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs are attached hereto as **Exhibit 4 and Exhibit 5**.

8. Among the exclusive rights granted to Plaintiffs under the U.S. Copyright Act are the exclusive rights to reproduce, prepare derivative works of, distribute copies of, and display the Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs to the public.

9. Plaintiffs' Trademarks and Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs are exclusive to Plaintiffs, and are displayed extensively on Plaintiffs' Products and in Plaintiffs' marketing and promotional materials. Plaintiffs' Products have long been among the most popular of their kind in the world and have been extensively promoted and advertised at great expense. In fact, Plaintiffs have expended millions of dollars annually in advertising, promoting and marketing featuring their respective Plaintiffs' Trademarks and/or Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs. Plaintiffs' Products have also been the subject of extensive unsolicited publicity resulting from their high quality and popularity. Because of these and other factors, Plaintiffs' respective brands and the Plaintiffs' Trademarks have become famous throughout the United States.

10. Plaintiffs' Trademarks are distinctive when applied to Plaintiffs' Products, signifying to the purchaser that the products come from Plaintiffs and are manufactured to Plaintiffs' quality standards. Whether Plaintiffs manufacture the products themselves or license others to do so, Plaintiffs have ensured that products bearing their trademarks are manufactured to the highest quality standards.

11. Plaintiffs have expended substantial time, money, and other resources in developing, advertising and otherwise promoting Plaintiffs' Trademarks and Plaintiffs'

Copyrighted Designs. As a result, Plaintiffs' Products bearing Plaintiffs' Trademarks and/or Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs are widely recognized and exclusively associated by consumers, the public, and the trade as being products sourced from Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs' Trademarks have achieved tremendous fame and recognition over the years, which has only added to the distinctiveness of the marks. As such, the goodwill associated with the Plaintiffs' Trademarks is of incalculable and inestimable value to Plaintiffs.

12. For years, Plaintiffs have operated e-commerce websites where they promote and sell genuine Plaintiffs' Products. Sales of Plaintiffs' Products via their websites represent a significant portion of Plaintiffs' business. The websites feature proprietary content, images and designs exclusive to Plaintiffs.

The Defendants

13. Defendants are individuals and business entities of unknown makeup who own and/or operate one or more of the e-commerce stores under at least the Seller Aliases identified on Schedule A and/or other seller aliases not yet known to Plaintiffs. On information and belief, Defendants reside and/or operate in the People's Republic of China or other foreign jurisdictions with lax trademark enforcement systems, or redistribute products from the same or similar sources in those locations. Defendants have the capacity to be sued pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17(b).

14. On information and belief, Defendants, either individually or jointly, operate one or more e-commerce stores under the Seller Aliases listed in Schedule A attached hereto. Tactics used by Defendants to conceal their identities and the full scope of their operation make it virtually impossible for Plaintiffs to learn Defendants' true identities and the exact interworking

of their counterfeit network. If Defendants provide additional credible information regarding their identities, Plaintiffs will take appropriate steps to amend the Complaint.

IV. DEFENDANTS' UNLAWFUL CONDUCT

15. The success of the Plaintiffs' brands has resulted in their significant counterfeiting. Consequently, Plaintiffs have a worldwide anti-counterfeiting program and regularly investigates suspicious e-commerce stores identified in proactive Internet sweeps and reported by consumers. In recent years, Plaintiffs have identified numerous fully interactive e-commerce stores, including those operating under the Seller Aliases, which were offering for sale and/or selling Unauthorized Products to consumers in this Judicial District and throughout the United States. E-commerce sales, including through e-commerce stores like those of Defendants, have resulted in a sharp increase in the shipment of unauthorized products into the United States. **Exhibit 6**, Excerpts from Fiscal Year 2018 U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") Intellectual Property Seizure Statistics Report. Over 90% of all CBP intellectual property seizures were smaller international mail and express shipments (as opposed to large shipping containers). *Id.* Over 85% of CBP seizures originated from mainland China and Hong Kong. *Id.* Counterfeit and pirated products account for billions in economic losses, resulting in tens of thousands of lost jobs for legitimate businesses and broader economic losses, including lost tax revenue.

16. Third party service providers like those used by Defendants do not adequately subject new sellers to verification and confirmation of their identities, allowing counterfeiters to "routinely use false or inaccurate names and addresses when registering with these e-commerce platforms." **Exhibit 7**, Daniel C.K. Chow, Alibaba, Amazon, and Counterfeiting in the Age of the Internet, 40 NW. J. INT'L L. & BUS. 157, 186 (2020); see also, report on "Combating

Trafficking in Counterfeit and Pirated Goods” prepared by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security’s Office of Strategy, Policy, and Plans (January 24, 2020) attached as **Exhibit 8** and finding that on “at least some e-commerce platforms, little identifying information is necessary for a counterfeiter to begin selling” and recommending that “[s]ignificantly enhanced vetting of third-party sellers” is necessary. Counterfeiters hedge against the risk of being caught and their websites taken down from an e-commerce platform by preemptively establishing multiple virtual store-fronts. **Exhibit 8** at p. 22. Since platforms generally do not require a seller on a third-party marketplace to identify the underlying business entity, counterfeiters can have many different profiles that can appear unrelated even though they are commonly owned and operated. **Exhibit 8** at p. 39. Further, “E-commerce platforms create bureaucratic or technical hurdles in helping brand owners to locate or identify sources of counterfeits and counterfeiters.” **Exhibit 7** at 186-187.

17. Defendants have targeted sales to Illinois residents by setting up and operating e-commerce stores that target United States consumers using one or more Seller Aliases, offer shipping to the United States, including Illinois, accept payment in U.S. dollars and, on information and belief, have sold Unauthorized Products to residents of Illinois.

18. Defendants concurrently employ and benefit from substantially similar advertising and marketing strategies. For example, Defendants facilitate sales by designing the e-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases so that they appear to unknowing consumers to be authorized online retailers, outlet stores, or wholesalers. E-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases appear sophisticated and accept payment in U.S. dollars via credit cards, Alipay, Amazon Pay, Western Union and/or PayPal. E-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases often include content and images that make it very difficult for

consumers to distinguish such stores from an authorized retailer. Plaintiffs have not licensed or authorized Defendants to use the Plaintiffs' Trademarks or copy or distribute the Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs, and none of the Defendants are authorized retailers of genuine Plaintiffs' Products.

19. Many Defendants also deceive unknowing consumers by using the Plaintiffs' Trademarks without authorization within the content, text, and/or meta tags of their e-commerce stores in order to attract various search engines crawling the Internet looking for websites relevant to consumer searches for Plaintiffs' Products. Other e-commerce stores operating under Seller Aliases omit using the Plaintiffs' Trademarks in the item title to evade enforcement efforts while using strategic item titles and descriptions that will trigger their listings when consumers are searching for Plaintiffs' Products.

20. On information and belief, Defendants have engaged in fraudulent conduct when registering the Seller Aliases by providing false, misleading and/or incomplete information to e-commerce platforms. On information and belief, certain Defendants have anonymously registered and maintained Seller Aliases to prevent one from learning their true identities and the scope of their e-commerce operation.

21. On information and belief, Defendants regularly register or acquire new seller aliases for the purpose of offering for sale and selling Unauthorized Products. Such seller alias registration patterns are one of many common tactics used by the Defendants to conceal their identities and the full scope and interworking of their counterfeiting operation, and to avoid being shut down.

22. Even though Defendants operate under multiple fictitious aliases, the e-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases often share unique identifiers, such as templates with

common design elements that intentionally omit any contact information or other information for identifying Defendants or other Seller Aliases they operate or use. E-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases include other notable common features, such as use of the same registration patterns, accepted payment methods, check-out methods, keywords, illegitimate search engine optimization (SEO), advertising tactics, similarities in price and quantities, the same incorrect grammar and misspellings, and/or the use of the same text and images. Additionally, Unauthorized Products for sale by the Seller Aliases bear similar irregularities and indicia of being unauthorized to one another, suggesting that the Unauthorized Products were manufactured by and come from a common source and that Defendants are interrelated.

23. On information and belief, Defendants are in constant communication with each other and regularly participate in QQ.com chat rooms and through websites such as sellerdefense.cn, kaidianyo.com and kuajingvs.com regarding tactics for operating multiple accounts, evading detection, pending litigation, and potential new lawsuits.

24. Counterfeiters such as Defendants typically operate under multiple seller aliases and payment accounts so that they can continue operation in spite of Plaintiffs' enforcement efforts. On information and belief, Defendants maintain off-shore bank accounts and regularly move funds from their financial accounts to off-shore bank accounts outside the jurisdiction of this Court to avoid payment of any monetary judgment awarded to Plaintiffs. Indeed, analysis of financial account transaction logs from previous similar cases indicates that off-shore counterfeiters regularly move funds from U.S.-based financial accounts to off-shore bank accounts outside the jurisdiction of this Court.

25. On information and belief, Defendants are an interrelated group of counterfeiters working in active concert to knowingly and willfully manufacture, import, distribute, offer for

sale, and sell Unauthorized Products in the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences. Defendants, without any authorization or license from Plaintiffs, have jointly and severally, knowingly and willfully used and continue to use the Plaintiffs' Trademarks and/or copies of the Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs in connection with the advertisement, distribution, offering for sale, and sale of Unauthorized Products into the United States and Illinois over the Internet.

26. Defendants' unauthorized use of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks and/or copies of the Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs in connection with the advertising, distribution, offering for sale, and sale of Unauthorized Products, including the sale of Unauthorized Products into the United States, including Illinois, is likely to cause and has caused confusion, mistake, and deception by and among consumers and is irreparably harming Plaintiffs.

COUNT I
TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT AND COUNTERFEITING (15 U.S.C. § 1114)

27. Plaintiffs hereby re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

28. This is a trademark infringement action against Defendants based on their unauthorized use in commerce of counterfeit imitations of the registered Plaintiffs' Trademarks in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution, and/or advertising of infringing goods. The Plaintiffs' Trademarks are highly distinctive marks. Consumers have come to expect the highest quality from Plaintiffs' Products sold or marketed under the Plaintiffs' Trademarks.

29. Defendants have sold, offered to sell, marketed, distributed, and advertised, and are still selling, offering to sell, marketing, distributing, and advertising products using counterfeit reproductions of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks without Plaintiffs' permission.

30. Plaintiffs are the exclusive owner of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks. Plaintiffs' United States Registrations for the Plaintiffs' Trademarks (Exhibits 1 - 3) are in full force and effect. Upon information and belief, Defendants have knowledge of Plaintiffs' rights in the Plaintiffs' Trademarks, and are willfully infringing and intentionally using counterfeits of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks. Defendants' willful, intentional and unauthorized use of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks is likely to cause and is causing confusion, mistake, and deception as to the origin and quality of the Unauthorized Products among the general public.

31. Defendants' activities constitute willful trademark infringement and counterfeiting under Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

32. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law, and if Defendants' actions are not enjoined, Plaintiffs will continue to suffer irreparable harm to their reputation and the goodwill of the well-known Plaintiffs' Trademarks.

33. The injuries and damages sustained by Plaintiffs have been directly and proximately caused by Defendants' wrongful reproduction, use, advertisement, promotion, offering to sell, and sale of Unauthorized Products.

COUNT II
FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN (15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

34. Plaintiffs hereby re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

35. Defendants' promotion, marketing, offering for sale, and sale of Unauthorized Products has created and is creating a likelihood of confusion, mistake, and deception among the general public as to the affiliation, connection, or association with Plaintiffs or the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Defendants' Unauthorized Products by Plaintiffs.

36. By using the Plaintiffs' Trademarks on the Unauthorized Products, Defendants create a false designation of origin and a misleading representation of fact as to the origin and sponsorship of the Unauthorized Products.

37. Defendants' false designation of origin and misrepresentation of fact as to the origin and/or sponsorship of the Unauthorized Products to the general public involves the use of counterfeit marks and is a willful violation of Section 43 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125.

38. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law and, if Defendants' actions are not enjoined, Plaintiffs will continue to suffer irreparable harm to their reputation and the goodwill of their brand.

COUNT III
COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT OF UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT
REGISTRATIONS (17 U.S.C. §§ 106 and 501)

39. Plaintiffs hereby re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

40. Plaintiffs are the owner of a valid and enforceable Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs, which contain certain copyrightable subject matter under 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 and 501, *et seq.*

41. Plaintiffs have complied with the registration requirements of 17 U.S.C. § 411(a) for the Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs and have obtained copyright registrations (Exhibits 4 - 5).

42. Defendants do not have any ownership interest in the Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs. Defendants had access to the Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs via the internet.

43. Without authorization from Plaintiffs, or any right under the law, Defendants have deliberately copied, displayed, distributed, reproduced and/or made derivative works incorporating the Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs on the Seller Aliases and the corresponding Unauthorized

Products. Defendants' derivative works are virtually identical to and/or substantially similar to the look and feel of the Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs. Such conduct infringes and continues to infringe the Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 501(a) and 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(1)–(3), (5).

44. Defendants reap the benefits of the unauthorized copying and distribution of the Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs in the form of revenue and other profits that are driven by the sale of Unauthorized Products.

45. The Defendants have unlawfully appropriated Plaintiffs' protectable expression by taking material of substance and value and creating Unauthorized Products that capture the total concept and feel of the Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs.

46. Upon information and belief, the Defendants' infringement has been willful, intentional, and purposeful, and in disregard of and with indifference to, Plaintiffs' rights.

47. The Defendants, by their actions, have damaged Plaintiffs in an amount to be determined at trial.

48. Defendants' conduct is causing, and unless enjoined and restrained by this Court will continue to cause, Plaintiffs great and irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated or measured in money. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502, Plaintiffs are entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction prohibiting further infringement of the Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 1) That Defendants, their affiliates, officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, confederates, and all persons acting for, with, by, through, under, or in active concert with them be temporarily, preliminarily, and permanently enjoined and restrained from:
 - a. using the Plaintiffs' Trademarks or any reproductions, counterfeit copies, or colorable imitations thereof in any manner in connection with the distribution, marketing, advertising, offering for sale, or sale of any product that is not a genuine Plaintiffs' Product or is not authorized by Plaintiffs to be sold in connection with the Plaintiffs' Trademarks;
 - b. reproducing, distributing copies of, making derivative works of, or publicly displaying the Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs in any manner without the express authorization of Plaintiffs;
 - c. passing off, inducing, or enabling others to sell or pass off any product as a genuine Plaintiffs' Product or any other product produced by Plaintiffs that is not Plaintiffs' or not produced under the authorization, control, or supervision of Plaintiffs and approved by Plaintiffs for sale under the Plaintiffs' Trademarks and/or the Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs;
 - d. committing any acts calculated to cause consumers to believe that Defendants' Unauthorized Products are those sold under the authorization, control, or supervision of Plaintiffs, or are sponsored by, approved by, or otherwise connected with Plaintiffs;
 - e. further infringing the Plaintiffs' Trademarks and/or the Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs and damaging Plaintiffs' goodwill; and

- f. manufacturing, shipping, delivering, holding for sale, transferring or otherwise moving, storing, distributing, returning, or otherwise disposing of, in any manner, products or inventory not manufactured by or for Plaintiffs, nor authorized by Plaintiffs to be sold or offered for sale, and which bear any of Plaintiffs' trademarks, including the Plaintiffs' Trademarks, or any reproductions, counterfeit copies, or colorable imitations thereof and/or which bear the Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs;
- 2) Entry of an Order that, upon Plaintiffs' request, those with notice of the injunction, including, without limitation, any online marketplace platforms such as eBay, AliExpress, Alibaba, Amazon, Wish.com, and Dhgate (collectively, the "Third Party Providers") shall disable and cease displaying any advertisements used by or associated with Defendants in connection with the sale of counterfeit and infringing goods using the Plaintiffs' Trademarks and/or which bear the Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs;
- 3) That Defendants account for and pay to Plaintiffs all profits realized by Defendants by reason of Defendants' unlawful acts herein alleged and that the amount of damages for infringement of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks be increased by a sum not exceeding three times the amount thereof as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1117;
- 4) In the alternative, that Plaintiffs be awarded statutory damages for willful trademark counterfeiting pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(c)(2) of \$2,000,000 for each and every use of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks;
- 5) As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' infringement of the Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Designs, Plaintiffs are entitled to damages, as well as Defendants' profits, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(b);

- 6) Alternatively, and at Plaintiffs' election prior to any final judgment being entered, Plaintiffs are entitled to the maximum amount of statutory damages provided by law, \$150,000 per work infringed pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), or for any other such amount as may be proper pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c);
- 7) That Plaintiffs be awarded their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and
- 8) Award any and all other relief that this Court deems just and proper.

Dated this 29 day of June 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Justin R. Gaudio

Amy C. Ziegler
Justin R. Gaudio
RiKaleigh C. Johnson
Abby M. Neu
Greer, Burns & Crain, Ltd.
300 South Wacker Drive, Suite 2500
Chicago, Illinois 60606
312.360.0080
312.360.9315 (facsimile)
aziegler@gbc.law
jgaudio@gbc.law
rjohnson@gbc.law
aneu@gbc.law

Counsel for Plaintiffs