

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

YUZHAO JIN,

Plaintiff,

v.

**THE PARTNERSHIPS and
UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS
IDENTIFIED ON SCHEDULE “A”**

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:24-cv-5247

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Yuzhao Jin (“Plaintiff”), hereby brings the present action against the Partnerships and Unincorporated Associations identified in Schedule A attached hereto (collectively, “Defendants”) and alleged as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the claims in this action pursuant to the provisions of the Patent Act, 35 U.S.C. § 1, *et seq.*, 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a)-(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

2. This Court may properly exercise personal jurisdiction over Defendants since each of the Defendants directly targets business activities toward consumers in the United States, including Illinois, through at least the fully interactive, commercial Internet stores operating under the Online Marketplace Accounts identified in Schedule A attached hereto (collectively, the “Defendant Internet Stores”). Specifically, Defendants are reaching out to do business with Illinois residents by operating one or more commercial, interactive Defendant Internet Stores through which Illinois residents can purchase products which infringe Plaintiff’s United States Patent,

attached hereto as **Exhibit A** (the “Asserted Patent”). Each of the Defendants has targeted sales to Illinois residents by operating online stores that offer shipping to the United States, including Illinois, accept payment in U.S. dollars and, on information and belief, has sold products covered by the Asserted Patent to residents of Illinois. Furthermore, upon information and belief, each Defendant has imported goods for sale into Illinois for distribution as shown by the shipping times for the Accused Products shown in **Exhibit B**. Each of the Defendants is committing tortious acts in Illinois, is engaging in interstate commerce, and has wrongfully caused Plaintiff substantial injury in the State of Illinois.

3. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(3) as Defendants are Chinese entities.

JOINDER

4. Joinder of the Defendants identified in **Schedule A** is proper. As seen in **Exhibits B and C**, the infringing products are identical aside from minor variations in ornamental elements such as the tub color. They all use an identical tub construction including the folding mechanism, locking mechanism, leg construction, upper ring, and drain construction. They all function identically and infringe the claims in the same manner. Furthermore, as shown in the pictures in **Exhibits B**, the products have the same construction, same design and each include the same identical pillow design and even same fabric pattern for the cushion. As such, common questions of fact exist in regard to all Defendants in terms of infringement and any likely counterclaims for non-infringement and/or invalidity of the Asserted Patent.

INTRODUCTION

5. This action has been filed by Plaintiff to combat online infringers who trade upon Plaintiff’s reputation and goodwill by selling and/or offering for sale unauthorized and unlicensed

products, namely the products shown in **Exhibit B** (the “Infringing Products”), that infringe the Asserted Patented. The Defendants create the Defendant Internet Stores and design them to appear to be selling genuine products, while actually selling Infringing Products to unknowing consumers. The Defendant Internet Stores share unique identifiers, such as using the same or similar product images, advertising, design elements and similarities of the infringing products offered for sale, establishing a logical relationship between them and suggesting that Defendants’ operation arises out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences. Defendants attempt to avoid liability by going to great lengths to conceal both their identities and the full scope and interworking of their operation. Plaintiff is forced to file this action to combat Defendants’ infringement of its patented design, as well as to protect unknowing consumers from purchasing Infringing Products over the Internet. Plaintiff has been and continues to be irreparably damaged from the loss of its lawful patent rights to exclude others from making, using, selling, offering for sale, and importing its patented design as a result of Defendants’ actions and seeks injunctive and monetary relief.

THE PARTIES

6. Plaintiff is a resident of China, and the owner of the Asserted Patent.
7. Plaintiff sells its products on Amazon, which embody and practice the Asserted Patent, under its trademarked brand. Plaintiff’s branded products are well established on Amazon and enjoy quality customer reviews and high ratings.
8. Plaintiff has not granted a license or any other form of permission to Defendants with respect to the Asserted Patent.
9. Defendants are individuals and business entities who, upon information and belief, reside in the People’s Republic of China. Defendants conduct business throughout the United

States, including within the State of Illinois and this Judicial District, through the operation of the fully interactive, commercial online marketplaces operating under the Defendant Internet Stores. Each Defendant targets the United States, including Illinois, and has offered to sell, and, on information and belief, has sold and continues to sell Infringing Products to consumers within the United States, including the State of Illinois. Furthermore, upon information and belief, each Defendant has imported one or more of the Accused Products into the state of Illinois for distribution via as shown by the relatively short shipping times to residents of the forum state. See **Exhibit B.**

10. On information and belief, Defendants are an interrelated group of infringers working in active concert to knowingly and willfully make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import into the United States for subsequent sale or use products that infringe directly and/or indirectly the Asserted Patent in the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences. For example, Defendants all sell and/or offer for sale the same infringing products with minor variations in the accessories with the products as well as similar if not identical product images and descriptions as shown in **Exhibits B and C.**

11. Plaintiff has not licensed or authorized Defendants to use the invention claimed in the Asserted Patent, and none of the Defendants are authorized retailers of Plaintiff's Products.

12. Defendants go to great lengths to conceal their identities and often use multiple fictitious names and addresses to register and operate their network of Defendant Internet Stores. On information and belief, Defendants regularly create new online marketplace accounts on various platforms using the identities listed in Schedule A to the Complaint, as well as other unknown fictitious names and addresses. Such Defendant Internet Store registration patterns are

one of many common tactics used by the Defendants to conceal their identities, the full scope and interworking of their operation, and to avoid being shut down.

13. Plaintiff is very familiar with the practices of such online counterfeiters and infringers. Such tactics include shutting down or abandoning their internet stores only to open another internet store offering the same infringing products under a different name, making enforcement of Plaintiff's intellectual property rights difficult in the extreme.

14. Further, infringers such as Defendants typically operate multiple credit card merchant accounts and PayPal accounts behind layers of payment gateways so that they can continue operation in spite of Plaintiff's enforcement efforts, such as take down notices. On information and belief, Defendants maintain off-shore bank accounts and regularly move funds from their financial accounts to off-shore bank accounts outside the jurisdiction of this Court.

15. Defendants, without any authorization or license from Plaintiff, have knowingly and willfully offered for sale, sold, and/or imported into the United States for subsequent resale or use products that infringe directly and/or indirectly the Asserted Patent, and continue to do so via the Defendant Internet Stores. Each Defendant Internet Store offers shipping to the United States, including Illinois, and, on information and belief, each Defendant has sold Infringing Products into the United States, including Illinois. Furthermore, upon information and belief, each Defendant has imported their products into the forum state for distribution as shown by the relatively short delivery times to addresses within the forum state and this judicial district. **See Exhibit B.**

16. Defendants' infringement of the Asserted Patent in the offering to sell, selling, or importing of the Infringing Products was willful.

17. Defendants' infringement of the Asserted Patent in connection with the offering to sell, selling, or importing of the Infringing Products, including the offering for sale and sale of Infringing Products into Illinois, is irreparably harming Plaintiff.

COUNT I
INFRINGEMENT OF UNITED STATES ASSERTED PATENT
(35 U.S.C. § 271)

18. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

19. Defendants offer for sale, sell, and/or import into the United States for subsequent resale or use Infringing Products that infringe the Asserted Patent.

20. Defendants have infringed the Asserted Patent through the aforesaid acts and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Defendants' wrongful conduct has caused Plaintiff to suffer irreparable harm resulting from the loss of its lawful patent rights to exclude others from making, using, selling, offering for sale, and importing the patented products. Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283.

21. Unless a preliminary and permanent injunction is issued enjoining Defendants and all others acting on in active concert therewith from infringing the Asserted Patent, Plaintiff will be greatly and irreparably harmed.

22. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for the infringement. Plaintiff is entitled to recover any other damages as appropriate pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for a judgment against Defendants as follows:

1) That Defendants, their affiliates, officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, confederates, and all persons acting for, with, by, through, under or in active concert with them be temporarily, preliminarily, and permanently enjoined and restrained from:

- a. offering for sale, selling, and importing any products not authorized by Plaintiff and that infringe the claims of the Asserted Patent;
- b. aiding, abetting, contributing to, or otherwise assisting anyone in infringing upon the Asserted Patent; and
- c. effecting assignments or transfers, forming new entities or associations or utilizing any other device for the purpose of circumventing or otherwise avoiding the prohibitions set forth in Subparagraphs (a) and (b).

2) Entry of an Order that, upon Plaintiff's request, those in privity with Defendants and those with notice of the injunction, including, without limitation, any online marketplace platforms such as iOffer, eBay, AliExpress, Alibaba, Amazon, Shein.com, Temu.com, Wish.com, Walmart.com, and Dhgate, web hosts, sponsored search engine or ad-word providers, credit cards, banks, merchant account providers, third party processors and other payment processing service providers, Internet search engines such as Google, Bing and Yahoo (collectively, the "Third Party Providers") shall:

- a. disable and cease providing services being used by Defendants, currently or in the future, to engage in the sale of goods that infringe the Asserted Patent;
- b. disable and cease displaying any advertisements used by or associated with Defendants in connection with the sale of infringing goods using the Asserted Patent; and

- c. take all steps necessary to prevent links to the Defendant Internet Stores identified on Schedule A from displaying in search results, including, but not limited to, removing links to the Defendant Internet Stores from any search index;
- 3) That Plaintiff be awarded such damages as it shall prove at trial against Defendants that are adequate to compensate Plaintiff for infringement of the Asserted Patent, including and not limited to a reasonable royalty, and/or all of the profits realized by Defendants, or others acting in concert or participation with Defendants, from Defendants' infringement of the Asserted Patent;
- 4) That the Court increase the damages up to three times the amount found or assessed, after an accounting, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- 5) That Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorney fees and costs; and
- 6) Award any and all other relief that this Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff respectfully demands a trial by jury on all issues triable by jury.

DATED June 24, 2024.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Stevenson Moore

Hao Ni

Texas Bar No. 24047205

hni@nilawfirm.com

Stevenson Moore

Texas Bar No. 24076573

smoore@nilawfirm.com

NI, WANG & MASSAND, PLLC

8140 Walnut Hill Ln., Ste. 615

Dallas, TX 75231

Tel: (972) 331-4600

Fax: (972) 314-0900

Counsel for Plaintiff