

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION**

ROBLOX CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

Case No.: 1:25-cv-02759

v.

THE PARTNERSHIPS AND UNINCORPORATED  
ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED ON SCHEDULE “A”,

Defendants.

**COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, ROBLOX CORPORATION (“Plaintiff”), by its undersigned counsel, hereby files this Complaint against the Partnerships and Unincorporated Associations identified on Schedule A attached hereto (collectively, “Defendants”), and for its Complaint hereby alleges as follows:

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the claims in this action pursuant to the provisions of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051 et seq., the Federal Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101, et seq., 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a)–(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

2. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, and this Court may properly exercise personal jurisdiction over Defendants since each of the Defendants directly targets consumers in the United States, including Illinois, through at least the fully interactive commercial internet stores operating under the Defendant aliases and/or the online marketplace accounts identified in Schedule A attached hereto (collectively, the “Defendant Internet Stores”). Specifically, Defendants are reaching out to do business with Illinois residents by operating one or more commercial, interactive internet stores through which Illinois residents can purchase

products bearing counterfeit and/or infringing versions of Plaintiff's trademarks and works. Each of the Defendants has targeted sales from Illinois residents by operating online stores that offer shipping to the United States, including Illinois, accept payment in U.S. dollars and, on information and belief, has sold products bearing counterfeit and/or infringing versions of Plaintiff's federally registered trademarks and works to residents of Illinois. Each of the Defendants is committing tortious acts in Illinois, is engaging in interstate commerce, and has wrongfully caused Plaintiff substantial injury in the State of Illinois.


### INTRODUCTION

3. This action has been filed to combat online trademark and copyright infringers who trade upon Plaintiff's reputation and goodwill and valuable trademarks and copyrights by selling and/or offering for sale products, hereinafter referred to as the "ROBLOX Products" in connection with Plaintiff's federally registered ROBLOX Trademarks, which are covered by U.S. Trademark Registration Nos. 6,102,343; 5,515,648; 6,200,694, 5,466,424; 6,024,416; and 3,280,422 (collectively, the "ROBLOX Trademarks"). The registrations are valid, subsisting, unrevoked, and uncancelled. The registrations for the trademarks constitute prima facie evidence of validity and of Plaintiff's exclusive right to use the trademarks pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1057(b). Genuine and authentic copies of the registration certificates for the ROBLOX Trademarks are attached as **Exhibit 1**.


4. In addition, Defendants are selling unauthorized products that are based on and derived from the copyrighted subject matter created by ROBLOX CORPORATION, hereinafter referred to as the "ROBLOX Works." Plaintiff is the owner of Copyright Registration Nos. VA 2-304-564 and VA 2-291-083 (collectively the "ROBLOX Works") attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**.

5. Online sales and licensing are the lifeblood of Plaintiff. The rise of online retailing, coupled with the ability of e-commerce sites to hide their identities, has made it nearly impossible for policing actions to be undertaken since availing itself of takedown procedures to remove infringing products would be an ineffective and endless game of whack-a-mole against the mass counterfeiting that is occurring over the internet. The aggregated effect of the mass counterfeiting that is taking place has overwhelmed Plaintiff and its ability to police its rights against the hundreds of anonymous defendants which are selling illegal counterfeits at prices below an original.

ORIGINAL



<https://www.roblox.com>

COUNTERFEIT

6. The above example evidences a cooperative counterfeiting network using fake eCommerce storefronts designed to appear to be selling authorized products. To be able to offer the counterfeit and/or infringing products at a price substantially below the cost of original, while still being able to turn a profit after absorbing the cost of manufacturing, advertising, and shipping, requires an economy of scale only achievable through a cooperative effort throughout the supply chain. As Homeland Security's recent report confirms, counterfeiters act in concert through coordinated supply chains and distribution networks to unfairly compete with legitimate brand owners while generating huge profits for the illegal counterfeiting network:

Historically, many counterfeits were distributed through swap meets and individual sellers located on street corners. **Today, counterfeits are being trafficked through vast e-commerce supply chains in concert with marketing, sales, and distribution networks.** The ability of e-commerce platforms to aggregate information and reduce transportation and search costs for consumers provides a big advantage over brick-and-mortar retailers. Because of this, sellers on digital platforms have consumer visibility well beyond the seller's natural geographical sales area.

...

The impact of counterfeit and pirated goods is broader than just unfair competition. Law enforcement officials have uncovered intricate links between the sale of

counterfeit goods and transnational organized crime. **A study by the Better Business Bureau notes that the financial operations supporting counterfeit goods typically require central coordination**, making these activities attractive for organized crime, with groups such as the Mafia and the Japanese Yakuza heavily involved. Criminal organizations use coerced and child labor to manufacture and sell counterfeit goods. In some cases, the proceeds from counterfeit sales may be supporting terrorism and dictatorships throughout the world.

...

Selling counterfeit and pirated goods through e-commerce is a highly profitable activity: production costs are low, millions of potential customers are available online, transactions are convenient, and listing on well-branded e-commerce platforms provides an air of legitimacy.

*See* Department of Homeland Security, *Combating Trafficking in Counterfeit and Pirated Goods*, Jan. 24, 2020, (<https://www.dhs.gov/publication/combating-trafficking-counterfeit-and-pirated-goods>), at 10, 19 (emphasis added) attached hereto as **Exhibit 3**.

7. The Defendant Internet Stores share unique identifiers, such as design elements and similarities of the unauthorized products offered for sale, establishing a logical relationship between them and suggesting that Defendants' illegal operations arise out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences. Defendants use aliases to avoid liability by going to great lengths to conceal both their identities as well as the full scope and interworking of their illegal network. Despite deterrents such as takedowns and other measures, the use of aliases enables counterfeiters to stymie authorities:

The scale of counterfeit activity online is evidenced as well by the significant efforts e-commerce platforms themselves have had to undertake. A major e-commerce platform reports that its proactive efforts prevented over 1 million suspected bad actors from publishing a single product for sale through its platform and blocked over 3 billion suspected counterfeit listings from being published to their marketplace. Despite efforts such as these, private sector actions have not been sufficient to prevent the importation and sale of a wide variety and large volume of counterfeit and pirated goods to the American public.

...

A counterfeiter seeking to distribute fake products will typically set up one or more accounts on online third-party marketplaces. The ability to rapidly proliferate third-party online marketplaces greatly complicates enforcement efforts, especially for intellectual property rights holders. Rapid proliferation also allows counterfeiters to hop from one profile to the next even if the original site is taken down or blocked.

On these sites, online counterfeiters can misrepresent products by posting pictures of authentic goods while simultaneously selling and shipping counterfeit versions.

Not only can counterfeiters set up their virtual storefronts quickly and easily, but they can also set up new virtual storefronts when their existing storefronts are shut down by either law enforcement or through voluntary initiatives set up by other stakeholders such as market platforms, advertisers, or payment processors.

*Id.* at 5, 11, 12.

8. eCommerce giant Alibaba has also made public its efforts to control counterfeiting on its platform. It formed a special task force that worked in conjunction with Chinese authorities for a boots-on the ground effort in China to stamp out counterfeiters. In describing the counterfeiting networks it uncovered, Alibaba expressed its frustration in dealing with “vendors, affiliated dealers and factories” that rely upon fictitious identities that enable counterfeiting rings to play whack-a-mole with authorities:

## Fighting China's counterfeits in the online era

Xinhua | Updated: 2017-09-19 14:20



BEIJING - A secret team in Chinese e-commerce giant Alibaba has the task of pretending to be online consumers who test-buy purchases from the billion-plus products on its platforms.

Alibaba's Anti-Counterfeiting Special Task Force, formed last year, actively works with local law enforcement agencies, said Qin Seng.

"After we clean up online shops selling counterfeits, the counterfeiters usually change their identities and places of dispatch, using more covert means to continue selling online," Qin said.

The team uses big data to identify counterfeits and the vendors, affiliated dealers and factories suspected of producing or selling counterfeit items. They pass evidence to the public security, administration of commerce and industry, quality inspection, food and drug supervision and other law enforcement agencies. At the same time, they investigate the evidence in the field.

The team faces many risks in their offline probes.

"Most counterfeiting dens are hidden and well-organized. For example, we encountered a village producing counterfeits. The villagers installed cameras everywhere and when they saw outsiders entering, they became vigilant and even threatened us," Qin said.

*See Xinhua, Fighting China's Counterfeits in the Online Era, China Daily (Sept. 19, 2017), available at [www.chinadaily.com.cn/business/2017-09/19/content\\_32200290.htm](http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/business/2017-09/19/content_32200290.htm) (**Exhibit 4**).*

9. Plaintiff has been and continues to be irreparably damaged through consumer confusion, dilution, loss of control over its reputation and goodwill as well as the quality of goods bearing the ROBLOX Trademarks and ROBLOX Works. The rise of eCommerce as a method of supplying goods to the public exposes brand owners and creators that make significant investments in their products to significant harm from counterfeiters:

Counterfeiting is no longer confined to street-corners and flea markets. The problem has intensified to staggering levels, as shown by a recent Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) report, which details a 154 percent increase in counterfeits traded internationally — from \$200 billion in 2005 to \$509 billion in 2016. Similar information collected by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) between 2000 and 2018 shows that seizures of infringing goods at U.S. borders have increased 10-fold, from 3,244 seizures per year to 33,810.

...

The rise in consumer use of third-party marketplaces significantly increases the risks and uncertainty for U.S. producers when creating new products. It is no longer enough for a small business to develop a product with significant local consumer

demand and then use that revenue to grow the business regionally, nationally, and internationally with the brand protection efforts expanding in step. Instead, with the international scope of e-commerce platforms, once a small business exposes itself to the benefits of placing products online — which creates a geographic scope far greater than its more limited brand protection efforts can handle — it begins to face increased foreign infringement threat.

...

Moreover, as costs to enter the online market have come down, such market entry is happening earlier and earlier in the product cycle, further enhancing risk. If a new product is a success, counterfeiters will attempt, often immediately, to outcompete the original seller with lower-cost counterfeit and pirated versions while avoiding the initial investment into research and design.

...

Counterfeiters have taken full advantage of the aura of authenticity and trust that online platforms provide. While e-commerce has supported the launch of thousands of legitimate businesses, their models have also enabled counterfeiters to easily establish attractive “store-fronts” to compete with legitimate businesses.

*See Combating Trafficking in Counterfeit and Pirated Goods*, Jan. 24, 2020, (**Exhibit 3**) at 4, 8, 11.

10. Not only are the creators and brand holders harmed, the public is harmed as well:

The rapid growth of e-commerce has revolutionized the way goods are bought and sold, allowing for counterfeit and pirated goods to flood our borders and penetrate our communities and homes. Illicit goods trafficked to American consumers by e-commerce platforms and online third-party marketplaces threaten public health and safety, as well as national security. This illicit activity impacts American innovation and erodes the competitiveness of U.S. manufacturers and workers.

The President’s historic memorandum provides a much warranted and long overdue call to action in the U.S. Government’s fight against a massive form of illicit trade that is inflicting significant harm on American consumers and businesses. This illicit trade must be stopped in its tracks.

*Id.* at 3, 4. (Underlining in original).

11. Plaintiff’s investigation shows that the telltale signs of an illegal counterfeiting ring are present in the instant action. For example, Schedule A shows the use of store names by the Defendant Internet Stores that employ no normal business nomenclature and, instead, have the appearance of being made up, or if a company that appears to be legitimate is used, online research shows that there is no known address for the company. Thus, the Defendant Internet Stores are using fake online storefronts designed to appear to be selling genuine Plaintiff products, while



selling inferior imitations of Plaintiff's products. The Defendant Internet Stores also share unique identifiers, such as design elements and similarities of the counterfeit products offered for sale, establishing a logical relationship between them and suggesting that Defendants' illegal operations arise out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences. Defendants attempt to avoid liability by going to great lengths to conceal both their identities and the full scope and interworking of their illegal counterfeiting operation. Plaintiff is forced to file this action to combat Defendants' counterfeiting and infringement of Plaintiff's registered Trademarks and Works, as well as to protect unknowing consumers from purchasing unauthorized ROBLOX Products over the internet.

12. This Court has personal jurisdiction over each Defendant, in that each Defendant conducts significant business in Illinois and in this judicial district, and the acts and events giving rise to this lawsuit of which each Defendant stands accused were undertaken in Illinois and in this judicial district. In addition, each defendant has offered to sell and ship infringing products into this judicial district.

#### **THE PLAINTIFF**

13. Plaintiff acts as the sales, marketing, design, and distribution arm of ROBLOX Products.

14. ROBLOX CORPORATION is in the business of developing, marketing, selling and distributing ROBLOX products. David Baszucki and Erik Cassel created the human co-experience platform ROBLOX in 2004 and released it in 2006. ROBLOX provides an online platform that hosts a digital world where users create virtual games and experiences and connect with other users. The ROBLOX platform includes ROBLOX-provided graphics, interfaces, and tools for the creation of content by users for users. ROBLOX users, in turn, develop games,

experiences, and virtual items for use on Roblox by themselves and other users. The ROBLOX platform hosts over 58.8 million average users per day. ROBLOX CORPORATION is the official source of ROBLOX Products.

15. Plaintiff's ROBLOX Trademarks and ROBLOX Works are exclusive to Plaintiff and are displayed extensively on Plaintiff's Products and in Plaintiff's marketing and promotional materials. Plaintiff's ROBLOX Trademarks and ROBLOX Works have been the subject of substantial and continuous marketing and promotion by Plaintiff at great expense. In fact, Plaintiff has expended significant resources annually in advertising, promoting, and marketing featuring Plaintiff's ROBLOX Trademarks and ROBLOX Works. Plaintiff's promotional efforts include — by way of example, but not limitation — substantial print media, a website, social media sites, and point of sale materials. Because of these and other factors, Plaintiff's ROBLOX Trademarks and ROBLOX Works have become famous worldwide.

16. Plaintiff's ROBLOX Trademarks are distinctive when applied to Plaintiff's Products, signifying to the purchaser that the products come from Plaintiff and are manufactured to Plaintiff's quality standards. Whether Plaintiff manufactures the products itself or licenses others to do so, Plaintiff has ensured that products bearing its Trademarks are manufactured to the highest quality standards. Plaintiff's ROBLOX Trademarks have achieved fame and recognition, which has only added to the inherent distinctiveness of the mark. As such, the goodwill associated with Plaintiff's ROBLOX Trademarks is incalculable and of inestimable value to Plaintiff.

17. Plaintiff's ROBLOX Trademarks qualify as famous marks, as used in 15 U.S.C. §1125 (c)(1) and have been continuously used and never abandoned. The registrations of the ROBLOX Trademarks constitute *prima facie* evidence of their validity and of ROBLOX

CORPORATION's exclusive right to use the ROBLOX Trademarks pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1057(b).

18. ROBLOX CORPORATION has invested substantial time, money, and effort in building up and developing consumer recognition, awareness, and goodwill in the ROBLOX Products.

19. As a result of ROBLOX CORPORATION's efforts, the quality of its ROBLOX Products, the promotional efforts for its products and designs, press and media coverage, and members of the public have become familiar with the ROBLOX Products, ROBLOX Works, and ROBLOX Trademarks and associate them exclusively with ROBLOX CORPORATION. ROBLOX CORPORATION has acquired valuable reputation and goodwill among the public as a result of such association.

20. ROBLOX CORPORATION has made efforts to protect its interests in and to the ROBLOX Works and ROBLOX Trademarks. No one other than ROBLOX CORPORATION and its licensees are authorized to manufacture, import, export, advertise, offer for sale, or sell any goods utilizing the ROBLOX Works or ROBLOX Trademarks without the express written permission of ROBLOX CORPORATION.

#### **THE DEFENDANTS**

21. Defendants are individuals and business entities who, upon information and belief, reside in the People's Republic of China or other foreign jurisdictions. Defendants conduct business throughout the United States, including within Illinois and in this judicial district, through the operation of the fully interactive commercial websites and online marketplaces operating under the Defendant Internet Stores. Each Defendant targets the United States, including Illinois, and has offered to sell and, on information and belief, has sold and continues to sell unauthorized

ROBLOX Products to consumers within the United States, including Illinois, and in this judicial district.

### **THE DEFENDANTS' UNLAWFUL CONDUCT**

22. The success of the ROBLOX Products has resulted in their counterfeiting and intentional copying. Defendants conduct their illegal operations through fully interactive commercial websites hosted on various e-commerce sites. Each Defendant targets consumers in the United States, including the State of Illinois, and has offered to sell and, on information and belief, has sold and continues to sell counterfeit and/or infringing products that violate Plaintiff's intellectual property rights ("Counterfeit and/or Infringing Products") to consumers within the United States, including the State of Illinois.

23. The Defendant Internet Stores intentionally conceal their identities and the full scope of their counterfeiting operations in an effort to deter Plaintiff from learning Defendants' true identities and the exact interworking of Defendants' illegal counterfeiting operations. Through their operation of the Defendant Internet Stores, Defendants are directly and personally contributing to, inducing, and engaging in the sale of Counterfeit and/or Infringing Products as alleged, often times as partners, co-conspirators, and/or suppliers. Upon information and belief, Defendants are an interrelated group of counterfeiters working in active concert to knowingly and willfully manufacture, import, distribute, offer for sale, and sell Counterfeit and/or Infringing Products.

24. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant hereto, the Defendants in this action have had full knowledge of Plaintiff's ownership of the ROBLOX Trademarks and ROBLOX Works, including its exclusive right to use and license such intellectual property and the goodwill associated therewith.

25. Defendants often go to great lengths to conceal their identities by often using multiple fictitious names and addresses to register and operate their massive network of Defendant Internet Stores. Upon information and belief, Defendants regularly create new websites and online marketplace accounts on various platforms using the identities listed in Schedule A to the Complaint, as well as other unknown fictitious names and addresses. Such Defendant Internet Store registration patterns are one of many common tactics used by the Defendants to conceal their identities and the full scope and interworking of their massive counterfeiting operation and to avoid being shut down.

26. The Counterfeit and/or Infringing ROBLOX Products for sale in the Defendant Internet Stores bear similarities and indicia of being related to one another, suggesting that the Counterfeit and/or Infringing ROBLOX Products were manufactured by and come from a common source and that, upon information and belief, Defendants are interrelated. The Defendant Internet Stores also include other notable common features, including use of the same store name registration patterns, unique shopping cart platforms, accepted payment methods, check-out methods, meta data, illegitimate SEO tactics, HTML user-defined variables, lack of contact information, identically or similarly priced items and volume sales discounts, similar hosting services, similar name servers, and the use of the same text and images.

27. In addition to operating under multiple fictitious names, Defendants in this case and defendants in other similar cases against online counterfeiters use a variety of other common tactics to evade enforcement efforts. For example, counterfeiters like Defendants will often register new online marketplace accounts under new aliases once they receive notice of a lawsuit. Counterfeiters also typically ship products in small quantities via international mail to minimize detection by U.S. Customs and Border Protection. A 2021 U.S. Customs and Border Protection

report on seizure statistics indicated that e-commerce sales accounted for 13.3% of total retail sales with second quarter of 2021 retail e-commerce sales estimated at \$222.5 billion. U.S. Customs and Border Protection, *Intellectual Property Right Seizure Statistics, FY 2021* (<https://www.cbp.gov/sites/default/files/assets/documents/2022-Sep/202994%20-%20FY%202021%20IPR%20Seizure%20Statistics%20BOOK.5%20-%20FINAL%20%28508%29.pdf>) at 23. A true and correct copy of CBP's FY 2021 report is attached hereto as **Exhibit 5**. In FY 2021, there were 213 million express mail shipments and 94 million international mail shipments. *Id.* Nearly 90 percent of all intellectual property seizures occur in the international mail and express environments. *Id.* at 27. The "overwhelming volume of small packages also makes CBP's ability to identify and interdict high-risk packages difficult." *Id.* at 23.

28. Further, counterfeiters such as Defendants typically operate multiple credit card merchant accounts and third-party accounts, such as PayPal, Inc. ("PayPal") accounts, behind layers of payment gateways so that they can continue operating in spite of Plaintiff's enforcement efforts. Upon information and belief, Defendants maintain offshore bank accounts and regularly move funds from their PayPal accounts to offshore bank accounts outside the jurisdiction of this Court. Indeed, analysis of PayPal transaction logs from previous similar cases indicates that offshore counterfeiters regularly move funds from U.S.-based PayPal accounts to China-based bank accounts outside the jurisdiction of this Court.

29. Defendants' use of the ROBLOX Trademarks and ROBLOXWorks on or in connection with the advertising, marketing, distribution, offering for sale and sale of the Counterfeit and/or Infringing Products is likely to cause and has caused confusion, mistake and deception by and among consumers and is irreparably harming Plaintiff. Defendants have

manufactured, imported, distributed, offered for sale and sold Counterfeit and/or Infringing Products using the ROBLOX Trademarks and ROBLOX Works and continue to do so.

30. Defendants, without authorization or license from Plaintiff, knowingly and willfully used and continue to use the ROBLOX Trademarks and ROBLOX Works in connection with the advertisement, offer for sale and sale of the Counterfeit and/or Infringing Products, through, inter alia, the internet. The Counterfeit and/or Infringing Products are not genuine ROBLOX Products. The Plaintiff did not manufacture, inspect or package the Counterfeit and/or Infringing Products and did not approve the Counterfeit and/or Infringing Products for sale or distribution. The Defendant Internet Stores offer shipping to the United States, including Illinois, and, on information and belief, each Defendant has sold Counterfeit and/or Infringing Products into the United States, including Illinois.

31. Upon information and belief, Defendants will continue to register or acquire listings for the purpose of selling Counterfeit Goods that infringe upon the ROBLOX Trademarks unless preliminarily and permanently enjoined.

32. Defendants' use of the ROBLOX Trademarks in connection with the advertising, distribution, offering for sale, and sale of Counterfeit and/or Infringing ROBLOX Products, including the sale of counterfeit ROBLOX Products into Illinois, is likely to cause and has caused confusion, mistake, and deception by and among consumers and is irreparably harming Plaintiff.

**COUNT I**  
**TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT AND COUNTERFEITING (15 U.S.C. § 1114)**

33. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

34. This is a trademark infringement action against Defendants based on their unauthorized use in commerce of counterfeit imitations of the federally registered ROBLOX

Trademarks in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution, and/or advertising of infringing goods. Plaintiff's Trademarks are distinctive. Consumers have come to expect the highest quality from ROBLOX CORPORATION and ROBLOX Products offered, sold, or marketed under ROBLOX Trademarks.

35. Without ROBLOX CORPORATION's authorization or consent, with knowledge of ROBLOX CORPORATION's well-known and prior rights in its ROBLOX Trademarks and with knowledge that Defendants' Counterfeit Products bear counterfeit marks, Defendants intentionally reproduced, copied, and/or colorable imitated the ROBLOX Trademarks and/or used spurious designations that are identical with, or substantially indistinguishable from, the ROBLOX Trademarks on or in connection with the manufacturing, import, export, advertising, marketing, promotion, distribution, display, offering for sale and/or sale of Counterfeit Products.

36. Defendants have manufactured, imported, exported, advertised, marketed, promoted, distributed, displayed, offered for sale, and/or sold their Counterfeit Products to the purchasing public in direct competition with ROBLOX CORPORATION, in or affecting interstate commerce, and/or have acted with reckless disregard of Plaintiff's rights in and to the ROBLOX Trademarks through their participation in such activities.

37. Defendants have applied their reproductions, counterfeits, copies, and colorable imitations of the ROBLOX Trademarks to packaging, point-of-purchase materials, promotions, and/or advertisements intended to be used in commerce upon, or in connection with, the manufacturing, importing, exporting, advertising, marketing, promoting, distributing, displaying, offering for sale, and/or selling of Defendants' Counterfeit Products, which is likely to cause confusion, mistake, and deception among the general purchasing public as to the origin of the Counterfeit Products, and is likely to deceive consumers, the public and the trade into believing



that the Counterfeit Products sold by Defendants originate from, are associated with, or are otherwise authorized by ROBLOX CORPORATION, thereby making substantial profits and gains to which they are not entitled in law or equity.

38. Defendants' unauthorized use of the ROBLOX Trademarks on or in connection with the Counterfeit Products was done with notice and full knowledge that such use was not authorized or licensed by ROBLOX CORPORATION, and with deliberate intent to unfairly benefit from the incalculable goodwill inherent in the ROBLOX Trademarks.

39. Defendants' actions constitute willful counterfeiting of the ROBLOX Trademarks in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114(1)(a)-(b), 1116(d), and 1117(b)-(c).

40. Defendants continued, knowing, and intentional use of the ROBLOX Trademarks without ROBLOX CORPORATION's consent or authorization constitutes intentional infringement of ROBLOX CORPORATION's federally registered ROBLOX Trademarks in violation of §32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

41. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law and, if Defendants' actions are not enjoined, Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable harm to Plaintiff's reputation and the goodwill of Plaintiff's well-known ROBLOX Trademark.

42. The injuries and damages sustained by Plaintiff have been directly and proximately caused by Defendants' wrongful reproduction, use, advertisement, promotion, offering to sell, and sale of counterfeit ROBLOX Products.

**COUNT II**  
**FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN, PASSING OFF & UNFAIR COMPETITION**  
**(15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))**

43. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

44. Plaintiff, as the owner of all right, title, and interest in and to the ROBLOX Trademarks has standing to maintain an action for false designation of origin and unfair competition under the Federal Trademark Statute, Lanham Act § 43(a) (15 U.S.C. § 1125).

45. The ROBLOX Trademarks are distinctive.

46. Defendants' promotion, marketing, offering for sale, and sale of infringing ROBLOX Products has created and is creating a likelihood of confusion, mistake, and deception among the public as to the affiliation, connection, or association with Plaintiff or the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Defendants' infringing products by Plaintiff.

47. By using the ROBLOX Trademarks in connection with the sale of unauthorized products, Defendants create a false designation of origin and a misleading representation of fact as to the origin and sponsorship of the unauthorized products.

48. Defendants' false designation of origin and misrepresentation of fact as to the origin and/or sponsorship of the unauthorized products to the general public is a willful violation of Section 43 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125.

49. Upon information and belief, Defendants' aforementioned wrongful actions have been knowing, deliberate, willful, intended to cause confusion, to cause mistake, and to deceive the purchasing public and with the intent to trade on the goodwill and reputation of ROBLOX CORPORATION, its ROBLOX Products, and ROBLOX Trademarks.

50. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law and, if Defendants' actions are not enjoined, Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable harm to its reputation and the goodwill of its brand.

**COUNT III**  
**COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT (17 U.S.C. § 501(a))**

51. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

52. Plaintiff's copyrights have significant value and have been produced and created at considerable expense.

53. Plaintiff, at all relevant times, has been the holder of the pertinent exclusive rights infringed by Defendants, as alleged hereunder, including but not limited to the copyrighted ROBLOX Works, including derivative works. The ROBLOX Works are the subject of valid copyright registrations. (**Exhibit 2**).

54. Upon information and belief, Defendants had access to the copyrighted works through Plaintiff's normal business activities. After accessing the ROBLOX Works, Defendants wrongfully created copies of the copyrighted works without Plaintiff's consent and engaged in acts of widespread infringement.

55. ROBLOX CORPORATION is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants further infringed ROBLOX CORPORATION's copyrights by making or causing to be made derivative works by producing and distributing reproductions without ROBLOX CORPORATION's permission.

56. Each Defendant, without the permission or consent of the Plaintiff, has and continues to sell online infringing derivative works of the copyrights. Each Defendant has violated Plaintiff's exclusive rights of reproduction and distribution. Each Defendant's actions constitute an infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights protected under the Copyright Act (17 U.S.C. §101 et seq.).

57. Further, as a direct result of the acts of copyright infringement, Defendants have obtained direct and indirect profits they would not otherwise have realized but for their infringement of the copyrighted ROBLOX Works. ROBLOX CORPORATION is entitled to

disgorgement of Defendants' profits directly and indirectly attributable to their infringement of the ROBLOX Works.

58. The foregoing acts of infringement constitute a collective enterprise of shared, overlapping facts and have been willful, intentional, and in disregard of and with indifference to the rights of the Plaintiff.

59. As a result of each Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights under copyright, Plaintiff is entitled to relief pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §504.

60. The conduct of each Defendant is causing and, unless enjoined and restrained by this Court, will continue to cause Plaintiff great and irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated or measured in money. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§502 and 503, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting each Defendant from further infringing Plaintiff's copyright and ordering that each Defendant destroy all unauthorized copies. Defendants' copies, plates, and other embodiment of the copyrighted works from which copies can be reproduced should be impounded and forfeited to ROBLOX CORPORATION as instruments of infringement, and all infringing copies created by Defendants should be impounded and forfeited to ROBLOX CORPORATION, under 17 U.S.C §503.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

1) That Defendants, their affiliates, officers, agents, employees, attorneys, and all persons acting for, with, by, through, under, or in active concert with them be temporarily, preliminarily, and permanently enjoined and restrained from:

- a. using the ROBLOX Trademarks and ROBLOX Works or any reproductions, copies, or colorable imitations thereof in any manner in connection with the distribution,

- marketing, advertising, offering for sale, or sale of any product that is not an authorized ROBLOX Product or is not authorized by Plaintiff to be sold in connection with the ROBLOX Trademarks and ROBLOX Works;
- b. passing off, inducing, or enabling others to sell or pass off any product or not produced under the authorization, control, or supervision of Plaintiff and approved by Plaintiff for sale under the ROBLOX Trademarks and ROBLOX Works;
  - c. committing any acts calculated to cause consumers to believe that Defendants' Counterfeit and/or Infringing ROBLOX Products are those sold under the authorization, control, or supervision of Plaintiff, or are sponsored by, approved by, or otherwise connected with Plaintiff;
  - d. further infringing the ROBLOX Trademarks and ROBLOX Works and damaging Plaintiff's goodwill;
  - e. otherwise competing unfairly with Plaintiff in any manner;
  - f. shipping, delivering, holding for sale, transferring or otherwise moving, storing, distributing, returning, or otherwise disposing of, in any manner, products or inventory not authorized by Plaintiff to be sold or offered for sale, and which bear the ROBLOX Trademarks or which are derived from Plaintiff's ROBLOX Works;
  - g. using, linking to, transferring, selling, exercising control over, or otherwise owning the Defendant Internet Stores, or any other online marketplace account that is being used to sell products or inventory not authorized by Plaintiff which bear the ROBLOX Trademarks or which are derived from Plaintiff's ROBLOX Works;
- 2) That Defendants, within fourteen (14) days after service of judgment with notice of entry thereof upon them, be required to file with the Court and serve upon Plaintiff a written report

under oath setting forth in detail the manner and form in which Defendants have complied with paragraph 1, a through g, above;

3) Entry of an Order that, upon Plaintiff's request, those in privity with Defendants and those with notice of the injunction, including any online marketplaces, social media platforms, Facebook, YouTube, LinkedIn, Twitter, internet search engines such as Google, Bing, and Yahoo, web hosts for the Defendant Internet Stores, and online marketplace account registrars, shall:

- a. disable and cease providing services for any accounts through which Defendants engage in the sale of products not authorized by Plaintiff which bear the ROBLOX Trademarks or which are derived from the ROBLOX Works, including any accounts associated with the Defendants listed on Schedule A;
- b. disable and cease displaying any advertisements used by or associated with Defendants in connection with the sale of products not authorized by Plaintiff which bear the ROBLOX Trademarks or which are derived from Plaintiff's copyrights in the ROBLOX Works; and
- c. take all steps necessary to prevent links to the Defendant Internet Stores identified on Schedule A from displaying in search results, including, but not limited to, removing links to the Defendant Internet Stores from any search index;

4) That Defendants account for and pay to Plaintiff all profits realized by Defendants by reason of Defendants' unlawful acts herein alleged, and that the amount of damages for infringement increased by a sum not exceeding three times the amount thereof as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1117;

5) In the alternative, that Plaintiff be awarded statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(c)(2) of \$2,000,000 for each and every use of the ROBLOX Trademarks;

6) For Judgment in favor of Plaintiff against Defendants that they have: a) willfully infringed Plaintiff's rights in its federally registered copyrights pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §501; and b) otherwise injured the business reputation and business of Plaintiff by Defendants' acts and conduct set forth in this Complaint;

7) For Judgment in favor of Plaintiff against Defendants for actual damages or statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §504, at the election of Plaintiff, in an amount to be determined at trial;

8) That Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and

9) Award any and all other relief that this Court deems just and proper.

DATED: March 17, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Keith A. Vogt

Keith A. Vogt (Bar No. 6207971)

Keith Vogt, Ltd.

33 West Jackson Boulevard, #2W

Chicago, Illinois 60604

Telephone: 312-971-6752

E-mail: keith@vogtip.com

***ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF***