

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, U.S.A., INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE PARTNERSHIPS and
UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS
IDENTIFIED ON SCHEDULE “A,”

Defendants.

Case No. 25-cv-04029

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc. (“Toyota Motor Sales” or “Plaintiff”) hereby brings the present action against the Partnerships and Unincorporated Associations identified on Schedule A attached hereto (collectively, the “Defendants”) and alleges as follows:

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the claims in this action pursuant to the provisions of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051, *et seq.*, 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a)-(b), and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

2. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, and this Court may properly exercise personal jurisdiction over Defendants since each of the Defendants directly targets business activities toward consumers in the United States, including Illinois, through at least the fully interactive e-commerce stores¹ operating under the seller aliases identified in Schedule A attached hereto (the “Seller Aliases”). Specifically, Defendants have targeted sales to Illinois residents by setting up and operating e-commerce stores that target United States

¹ The e-commerce store URLs are listed on Schedule A hereto under the Online Marketplaces.

consumers using one or more Seller Aliases, offer shipping to the United States, including Illinois, accept payment in U.S. dollars and/or funds from U.S. bank accounts, and, on information and belief, have sold products using infringing and counterfeit versions of Plaintiff's federally registered trademarks to residents of Illinois. Each of the Defendants is committing tortious acts in Illinois, is engaging in interstate commerce, and has wrongfully caused Plaintiff substantial injury in the State of Illinois.

II. INTRODUCTION

3. This action has been filed by Plaintiff to combat e-commerce store operators who trade upon Plaintiff's reputation and goodwill by offering for sale and/or selling unauthorized and unlicensed products, namely automotive accessory products, using infringing and counterfeit versions of the federally registered Toyota trademarks (the "Counterfeit Products"). Defendants create e-commerce stores operating under one or more Seller Aliases that are advertising, offering for sale, and selling the Counterfeit Products to unknowing consumers. E-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases share unique identifiers establishing a logical relationship between them and that Defendants' counterfeiting operation arises out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences. Defendants attempt to avoid and mitigate liability by operating under one or more Seller Aliases to conceal both their identities and the full scope and interworking of their counterfeiting operation. Plaintiff is forced to file this action to combat Defendants' counterfeiting of the registered Toyota trademarks, as well as to protect unknowing consumers from purchasing the Counterfeit Products over the Internet. Plaintiff has been and continues to be irreparably damaged through consumer confusion, dilution, and tarnishment of the valuable Toyota trademarks as a result of Defendants' actions and seeks injunctive and monetary relief.

III. THE PARTIES

Plaintiff


4. Toyota Motor Sales is the exclusive importer and authorized distributor of Toyota-branded vehicles, parts, and accessories for sale in the continental United States and Alaska, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. Toyota Motor Sales has been granted a license to distribute Toyota-branded vehicles, parts, and accessories in the United States in association or in connection with the trademarks and tradenames, registered and unregistered, of Toyota Motor Corporation, and has the right to enforce those rights and sublicense those rights to dealers, distributors, and others. Plaintiff Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc. and Toyota Motor Corporation are referred to herein together or individually as “Toyota.”

5. Toyota was founded in 1937 and has risen to be one of the most preeminent automotive brands in the world. Toyota is one of the largest producers of automotive vehicles in the world, with millions of vehicles sold in the United States and globally, resulting in billions of dollars in revenue.

6. Toyota is associated with some of the most famous brands in the automotive industry, including Corolla, Lexus, Prius, 4Runner, Rav4, Land Cruiser, and Camry.

7. Propelled by its reputation and recognizable trademarks, which are carefully curated and monitored, consumers recognize Plaintiff and the Toyota brand as a source of reliable and quality vehicles, vehicle parts, and accessories (collectively, the “Toyota Products”). Toyota has registered many of its trademarks with the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Toyota Products typically include at least one of Toyota’s registered trademarks. Toyota uses its

trademarks in connection with the marketing of its Toyota Products, including the following marks which are collectively referred to as the “Toyota Trademarks.”²

Registration No.	Trademark
843,138; 1,589,552; 1,721,365; 2,115,623	TOYOTA
1,262,925	CAMRY
5,256,854	C-HR
2,249,838	HIGHLANDER
2,590,587	LAND CRUISER
2,485,614	PRIUS
2,171,261	RAV4
1,854,126	4RUNNER
2,462,106	SEQUOIA
2,145,102	SIENNA
5,932,399	SUPRA
2,650,486	TACOMA
3,247,860	TUNDRA
3,159,930	YARIS
2,823,668	TRD
1,574,718; 5,810,473	LEXUS
5,868,865	CRAFTED FOR LEXUS
1,797,716	
867,434	<i>COROLLA</i>
2,510,985	

² Some of the TOYOTA Trademarks were granted to “Toyota Jidosha Kabushiki Kaisha,” which translates to English as “Toyota Motor Corporation.”

1,834,147	
2,852,290	
3,805,350	
5,803,480	
6,938,649	

8. The above U.S. registrations for the Toyota Trademarks are valid, subsisting, in full force and effect, and many are incontestable pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1065. The registrations for the Toyota Trademarks constitute *prima facie* evidence of their validity and of Toyota's exclusive right to use the Toyota Trademarks pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1057(b). True and correct copies of

the United States Registration Certificates for the above-listed Toyota Trademarks are attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

9. The Toyota Trademarks are distinctive when applied to the Toyota Products, signifying to the purchaser that the products come from Toyota and are manufactured to Toyota's quality standards. Whether Toyota manufactures the products itself or contracts with others to do so, Toyota has ensured that products bearing the Toyota Trademarks are manufactured to the highest quality standards.

10. The Toyota Trademarks are famous marks, as that term is used in 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c)(1), and have been used by Toyota for many years. The innovative marketing and product designs of the Toyota Products have enabled the Toyota brand to achieve widespread recognition and fame and have made the Toyota Trademarks some of the most well-known marks in the industry. The widespread fame, outstanding reputation, and significant goodwill associated with the Toyota brand have made the Toyota Trademarks valuable assets of Toyota.

11. Toyota has expended substantial time, money, and other resources in developing, advertising, and otherwise promoting the Toyota Trademarks. In fact, Toyota has expended millions of dollars annually in advertising, promoting, and marketing products featuring the Toyota Trademarks. Toyota Products have also been the subject of extensive unsolicited publicity resulting from their high quality, performance, and innovative design. As a result, products bearing the Toyota Trademarks are widely recognized and exclusively associated by consumers, the public, and the trade as being high-quality products sourced from Toyota. Toyota Products have become among the most popular of their kind in the U.S. and the world. The Toyota Trademarks have achieved tremendous fame and recognition which has only added to the distinctiveness of the

marks. As such, the goodwill associated with the Toyota Trademarks is of incalculable and inestimable value to Toyota.

12. Toyota Products are sold to consumers through authorized dealerships, including several in the Chicago area. Toyota has a website at Toyota.com which allows customers to search for a dealership near their location. The Toyota.com website features proprietary content, images, and designs exclusive to the Toyota brand.

The Defendants

13. Defendants are individuals and business entities of unknown makeup who own and/or operate one or more of the e-commerce stores under at least the Seller Aliases identified on Schedule A and/or other seller aliases not yet known to Plaintiff. On information and belief, Defendants reside and/or operate in the People's Republic of China or other foreign jurisdictions, or redistribute products from the same or similar sources in those locations. Defendants have the capacity to be sued pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17(b).

14. On information and belief, Defendants, either individually or jointly, operate one or more e-commerce stores under the Seller Aliases listed in Schedule A attached hereto. Tactics used by Defendants to conceal their identities and the full scope of their operations make it virtually impossible for Plaintiff to learn Defendants' true identities and the exact interworking of their counterfeit network. If Defendants provide additional credible information regarding their identities, Plaintiff will take appropriate steps to amend the Complaint.

IV. DEFENDANTS' UNLAWFUL CONDUCT

15. The success of the Toyota brand has resulted in significant counterfeiting of the Toyota Trademarks. Consequently, Toyota has a worldwide anti-counterfeiting program and regularly investigates suspicious e-commerce stores identified in proactive Internet sweeps and

reported by consumers. In recent years, Toyota has identified numerous fully interactive, e-commerce stores offering Counterfeit Products on online marketplace platforms, including the e-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases. The Seller Aliases target consumers in this Judicial District and throughout the United States. According to a U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) report, in 2021, CBP made over 27,000 seizures of goods with intellectual property rights (IPR) violations totaling over \$3.3 billion, an increase of \$2.0 billion from 2020.³ Of the 27,000 in total IPR seizures, over 24,000 came through international mail and express courier services (as opposed to containers), most of which originated from China and Hong Kong.⁴

16. Third party service providers like those used by Defendants do not adequately subject new sellers to verification and confirmation of their identities, allowing counterfeiters to “routinely use false or inaccurate names and addresses when registering with these e-commerce platforms.”⁵ Counterfeiters hedge against the risk of being caught and having their websites taken down from an e-commerce platform by preemptively establishing multiple virtual store-fronts.⁶ Since platforms generally do not require a seller on a third-party marketplace to identify the underlying business entity, counterfeiters can have many different profiles that can appear unrelated even though they are commonly owned and operated.⁷ Further, “E-commerce platforms

³ See *Intellectual Property Rights Seizure Statistics, Fiscal Year 2021*, U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

⁴ *Id.*

⁵ See Daniel C.K. Chow, *Alibaba, Amazon, and Counterfeiting in the Age of the Internet*, 40 NW. J. INT’L L. & BUS. 157, 186 (2020); see also report on “Combating Trafficking in Counterfeit and Pirated Goods” prepared by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security’s Office of Strategy, Policy, and Plans (Jan. 24, 2020), and finding that on “at least some e-commerce platforms, little identifying information is necessary for a counterfeiter to begin selling” and recommending that “significantly enhanced vetting of third-party sellers” is necessary.

⁶ *Id.* at p. 22.

⁷ *Id.* at p. 39.

create bureaucratic or technical hurdles in helping brand owners to locate or identify sources of counterfeits and counterfeiters.”⁸

17. Defendants have targeted sales to Illinois residents by setting up and operating e-commerce stores that target United States consumers using one or more Seller Aliases, offer shipping to the United States, including Illinois, accept payment in U.S. dollars and/or funds from U.S. bank accounts and, on information and belief, have sold the Counterfeit Products to residents of Illinois.

18. Defendants concurrently employ and benefit from substantially similar advertising and marketing strategies. For example, Defendants facilitate sales of the Counterfeit Products by designing the e-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases so that they appear to unknowing consumers to be authorized online retailers, outlet stores, or wholesalers. E-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases appear sophisticated and accept payment in U.S. dollars and/or funds from U.S. bank accounts via credit cards, Alipay, Amazon Pay, and/or PayPal. E-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases often include content and images that make it very difficult for consumers to distinguish such stores from an authorized retailer. On information and belief, Toyota has not licensed or authorized Defendants to use any of the Toyota Trademarks, and none of the Defendants are authorized retailers of genuine Toyota Products.

19. Many Defendants also deceive unknowing consumers by using one or more Toyota Trademarks without authorization within the content, text, and/or meta-tags of their e-commerce stores to attract various search engines crawling the Internet looking for websites relevant to consumer searches for Toyota Products. Other e-commerce stores operating under Seller Aliases omit using Toyota Trademarks in the item title to evade enforcement efforts while using strategic

⁸ Chow, *supra* note 5, at p.186-87.

item titles and descriptions that will trigger their listings when consumers are searching for Toyota Products.

20. E-commerce store operators like Defendants commonly engage in fraudulent conduct when registering the Seller Aliases by providing false, misleading, and/or incomplete information to e-commerce platforms to prevent discovery of their true identities and the scope of their e-commerce operation.

21. E-commerce store operators like Defendants regularly register or acquire new seller aliases for the purpose of offering for sale and selling Counterfeit Products. Such seller alias registration patterns are one of many common tactics used by e-commerce store operators like Defendants to conceal their identities, the full scope and interworking of their counterfeiting operation, and to avoid being shut down.

22. Even though Defendants operate under multiple fictitious aliases, the e-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases often share unique identifiers such as templates with common design elements that intentionally omit any contact information or other information for identifying Defendants or other Seller Aliases they operate or use. E-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases include other notable common features such as use of the same registration patterns, accepted payment methods, check-out methods, keywords, advertising tactics, similarities in price and quantities, the same incorrect grammar and misspellings, and/or the use of the same text and images. Additionally, Counterfeit Products for sale by the Seller Aliases bear similar irregularities and indicia of being counterfeit to one another, suggesting that the Counterfeit Products were manufactured by and come from a common source and that Defendants are interrelated.

23. E-commerce store operators like Defendants are in constant communication with each other and regularly participate in QQ.com chat rooms and through websites such as sellerdefense.cn and kuajingvs.com regarding tactics for operating multiple accounts, evading detection, pending litigation, and potential new lawsuits.

24. Counterfeiters such as Defendants typically operate under multiple seller aliases and payment accounts so that they can continue operation in spite of Plaintiff's enforcement. E-commerce store operators like Defendants maintain off-shore bank accounts and regularly move funds from their financial accounts to off-shore accounts outside the jurisdiction of this Court to avoid payment of any monetary judgment awarded to Plaintiff. Indeed, analysis of financial account transaction logs from previous similar cases indicates that off-shore counterfeiters regularly move funds from U.S.-based financial accounts to off-shore accounts outside the jurisdiction of this Court.

25. Defendants are working to knowingly and willfully import, distribute, offer for sale, and sell the Counterfeit Products in the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences. Defendants, without any authorization or license from Toyota, have knowingly and willfully used and continue to use the Toyota Trademarks in connection with the advertisement, distribution, offering for sale, and sale of the Counterfeit Products into the United States and Illinois over the Internet.

26. Defendants' unauthorized use of the Toyota Trademarks in connection with the advertising, distribution, offering for sale, and sale of the Counterfeit Products, including the sale of the Counterfeit Products into the United States, including Illinois, is likely to cause and has caused confusion, mistake, and deception by and among consumers and is irreparably harming Plaintiff.

COUNT I
TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT AND COUNTERFEITING (15 U.S.C. § 1114)

27. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

28. This is a trademark infringement action against Defendants based on their unauthorized use in commerce of counterfeit imitations of the federally registered Toyota Trademarks in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution, and/or advertising of infringing goods. The Toyota Trademarks are highly distinctive marks. Consumers have come to expect the highest quality from Toyota Products sold or marketed under the Toyota Trademarks.

29. Defendants have sold, offered to sell, marketed, distributed, and advertised, and are still selling, offering to sell, marketing, distributing, and advertising products using counterfeit reproductions of the Toyota Trademarks without Toyota's permission.

30. Toyota is the exclusive owner of the Toyota Trademarks. Toyota's United States Registrations for the Toyota Trademarks (**Exhibit 1**) are in full force and effect. On information and belief, Defendants have knowledge of Toyota's rights in the Toyota Trademarks and are willfully infringing and intentionally using counterfeits of the Toyota Trademarks. Defendants' willful, intentional, and unauthorized use of the Toyota Trademarks is likely to cause and is causing confusion, mistake, and deception as to the origin and quality of the Counterfeit Products among the general public.

31. Defendants' activities constitute willful trademark infringement and counterfeiting under Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

32. Toyota has no adequate remedy at law and, if Defendants' actions are not enjoined, Toyota will continue to suffer irreparable harm to its reputation and the goodwill of its well-known Toyota Trademarks.

33. The injuries and damages sustained by Plaintiff have been directly and proximately caused by Defendants' wrongful reproduction, use, advertisement, promotion, offering to sell, and sale of the Counterfeit Products.

COUNT II
FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN (15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

34. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

35. Defendants' promotion, marketing, offering for sale, and sale of the Counterfeit Products has created and is creating a likelihood of confusion, mistake, and deception among the general public as to the affiliation, connection, or association with Toyota or the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Defendants' Counterfeit Products by Toyota.

36. By using the Toyota Trademarks in connection with the sale of the Counterfeit Products, Defendants create a false designation of origin and a misleading representation of fact as to the origin and sponsorship of the Counterfeit Products.

37. Defendants' false designation of origin and misrepresentation of fact as to the origin and/or sponsorship of the Counterfeit Products to the general public involves the use of counterfeit marks and is a willful violation of Section 43 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125.

38. There is no adequate remedy at law and, if Defendants' actions are not enjoined, Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable harm to its reputation and the associated goodwill of the Toyota brand.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 1) That Defendants, their affiliates, officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, confederates, and all persons acting for, with, by, through, under, or in active concert with them be temporarily, preliminarily, and permanently enjoined and restrained from:
 - a. using the Toyota Trademarks or any reproductions, counterfeit copies, or colorable imitations thereof in any manner in connection with the distribution, promotion, marketing, advertising, offering for sale, or sale of any product that is not a genuine Toyota Product or is not authorized by Toyota to be sold in connection with the Toyota Trademarks;
 - b. passing off, inducing, or enabling others to sell or pass off any products as genuine Toyota Products or any other products produced by Toyota that are not Toyota's, or not produced under the authorization, control, or supervision of Toyota and approved by Toyota for sale under the Toyota Trademarks;
 - c. committing any acts calculated to cause consumers to believe that Defendants' Counterfeit Products are those sold under the authorization, control, or supervision of Toyota, or are sponsored by, approved by, or otherwise connected with Toyota;
 - d. further infringing the Toyota Trademarks and damaging Toyota's goodwill; and
 - e. manufacturing, shipping, delivering, holding for sale, transferring, or otherwise moving, storing, distributing, returning, or otherwise disposing of, in any manner, products or inventory not manufactured by or for Toyota, nor authorized by Toyota to be sold or offered for sale, and which bear any of Toyota's trademarks, including the Toyota Trademarks, or any reproductions, counterfeit copies, or colorable imitations thereof;

- 2) Entry of an Order that, upon Plaintiff's request, those with notice of the injunction including, without limitation, any online marketplace platforms such as eBay, Amazon, Walmart, Temu, and PayPal (collectively, the "Third Party Providers") shall disable and cease displaying any advertisements used by or associated with Defendants in connection with the sale of counterfeit and infringing goods using the Toyota Trademarks;
- 3) That Defendants account for and pay to Plaintiff all profits realized by Defendants by reason of Defendants' unlawful acts herein alleged, and that the amount of damages for infringement of the Toyota Trademarks be increased by a sum not exceeding three times the amount thereof as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1117;
- 4) In the alternative, that Plaintiff be awarded statutory damages for willful trademark counterfeiting pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(c)(2) of \$2,000,000 for each and every use of the Toyota Trademarks;
- 5) That Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and
- 6) Award any and all other relief that this Court deems just and proper.

Dated this 14th day of April 2025.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Justin R. Gaudio

Amy C. Ziegler
Justin R. Gaudio
Trevor C. Talhami
Thomas J. Juettner
Greer, Burns & Crain, Ltd.
200 W. Madison St. Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
312.360.0080 / 312.360.9315 (facsimile)
aziegler@gbc.law
jgaudio@gbc.law
ttalhami@gbc.law
tjuettner@gbc.law

Counsel for Plaintiff
Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.