

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION**

[REDACTED]

PLAINTIFF,

v.

THE PARTNERSHIPS IDENTIFIED ON SCHEDULE A,

DEFENDANTS.

CASE No.: 1:25-cv-06691

**FILED UNDER SEAL**

**COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, [REDACTED] d/b/a/ [REDACTED] (“[REDACTED]” or “Plaintiff”), by its undersigned counsel, hereby complains of the partnerships identified on Schedule A attached hereto (collectively, the “Defendants”), which use the online marketplace accounts identified therein (collectively, the “Defendant Internet Stores” or “Seller Aliases”), and for its Complaint hereby alleges as follows:

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the claims in this action pursuant to the provisions of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051 *et seq.*, 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a)-(b), and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims in this action that arise under the laws of the State of Illinois pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a), because the state law claims are so related to the federal claims that they form part of the same case or controversy and derive from a common nucleus of operative facts.

2. This Court has personal jurisdiction over each Defendant, in that each Defendant conducts significant business in Illinois and in this Judicial District, and the acts and events giving

rise to this lawsuit, of which each Defendant stands accused, were undertaken in Illinois and within this Judicial District.

3. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, since each Defendant directly targets consumers in the United States, including Illinois, through the fully interactive, commercial Internet stores operating under the Defendant Internet Stores identified on Schedule A. Each Defendant commits tortious acts, engages in interstate commerce, and wrongfully causes substantial injury in the State of Illinois.

### **JOINDER**

4. Joinder is proper pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 20(a)(2) as Plaintiff's right to relief stems from the same series of transactions or occurrences, and questions of law and/or fact common to all defendants will arise in the action.

5. Plaintiff has filed, attached hereto, its Schedule A list of Seller Aliases found to be selling infringing products. However, the true identities of the Defendants—*i.e.*, the individuals and/or entities operating the Seller Aliases—are not yet known.

6. In Plaintiff's experience, a significant number of the Seller Aliases included in the Schedule A are owned and/or operated by the same individual and/or entity. However, it is not until the third-party marketplace produces the full and uncensored registration data for these stores that Plaintiff will discover the identity or identities of the individuals and/or entities operating under the Seller Aliases

7. Given the similarities between the Defendant Internet Stores discussed *infra* and the strong likelihood that they are owned and/or operated by the same individual and/or entity as discussed *supra*, and for purposes of judicial efficiency, Plaintiff asserts that joinder of all defendants

is proper at this stage as severing the case would mean that multiple stores with the same operator would be adjudicated piecemeal and/or would need to be re-joined at a later date.

### INTRODUCTION

8. This action has been filed to combat the online trademark infringement and counterfeiting of Defendants, who trade upon Plaintiff's valuable trademarks by selling and/or offering for sale unauthorized, inauthentic, infringing, and counterfeit products in connection with Plaintiff's federally registered trademark.

9. Plaintiff, [REDACTED] is the owner of the federally registered [REDACTED] Trademarks, listed in the table below—true and correct copies of which are attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** (collectively referred to as the "[REDACTED] Trademarks"). The [REDACTED] trademark registrations are valid, subsisting, and in full force and effect. The [REDACTED] trademark registrations constitute *prima facie* evidence of their validity and of [REDACTED] exclusive right to use the [REDACTED] Trademarks pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1057(b). [REDACTED]

TRADEMARK REGISTRATIONS			
REG. NO.	MARK	CLASS(ES) OF GOODS & SERVICES	REG. DATE
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

10. In an effort to illegally and deceptively profit from the [REDACTED] Trademarks, Defendants created numerous Defendant Internet Stores, intentionally designed to give the impression to consumers that they are legitimate websites selling products manufactured or

authorized by [REDACTED] with Defendants' ultimate intention being to deceive unknowing consumers into purchasing products which are unauthorized and infringe upon the [REDACTED] Trademarks (hereinafter referred to as "Counterfeit [REDACTED] Products" or "Counterfeit Products").

11. Defendant Internet Stores share unique identifiers, such as design elements and similarities of unauthorized products offered for sale, establishing a logical relationship between the Defendants, and suggesting that Defendants' illegal operations arise out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences. Defendants attempt to avoid liability by going to great lengths to conceal both their identities and the full scope and interworking of their operation.

12. Plaintiff has been and continues to be irreparably damaged through consumer confusion, dilution, loss of control over its creative content, and tarnishment of its valuable trademarks as a result of Defendants' actions and is thus seeking injunctive and monetary relief.

#### THE PLAINTIFF

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

■ [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

■ [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

18. ■ has invested substantial time, money, and effort in developing consumer recognition, awareness, and goodwill in the ■ Products and ■ Trademarks, including advertising spend of over \$1,000,000 annually. The ■ Products and ■

Trademarks are, and have been, the subject of continuous marketing and promotion by Plaintiff in the industry and to consumers.

19. As a result of the efforts of [REDACTED] the promotional efforts for its products and designs, press and media coverage, and widespread marketing, members of the public have become familiar with the [REDACTED] Products and [REDACTED] Trademarks, and associate them exclusively with Plaintiff.

20. [REDACTED] has made efforts to protect its interests in and to the [REDACTED] Trademarks. Plaintiff and its licensees are the only businesses and/or individuals authorized to manufacture, import, export, advertise, offer for sale, or sell any goods utilizing or featuring the [REDACTED] Trademarks, without the express written permission of Plaintiff. Plaintiff has not licensed or authorized Defendants to use the [REDACTED] Trademarks.

#### **THE DEFENDANTS**

21. Defendants are individuals and business entities who, upon information and belief, reside in the People's Republic of China or other foreign jurisdictions. Defendants conduct business throughout the United States, including this Judicial District, through the operation of fully interactive commercial websites and online marketplace accounts operating under the Defendant Internet Stores. Each Defendant targets the United States, including Illinois, and has offered to sell and, on information and belief, has sold and continues to sell Counterfeit [REDACTED] Products to consumers within the United States and this Judicial District.

#### **THE DEFENDANTS' UNLAWFUL CONDUCT**

22. The success and widespread popularity of the [REDACTED] brand and [REDACTED] [REDACTED] has resulted in significant counterfeiting and intentional copying. Plaintiff has identified numerous interactive ecommerce stores and marketplace listings on platforms which include, but are

not limited to those operated on the following marketplaces: AliExpress, Inc. (“AliExpress”); Amazon, Inc. (“Amazon”); DHGate.com (“DHGate”); and WhaleCo, Inc. d/b/a Temu (“Temu”) (collectively referred to herein as “Online Marketplaces”), including the Defendant Internet Stores, which are offering for sale, selling, and importing Counterfeit [REDACTED] Products to consumers throughout the United States.

23. E-commerce stores, like the Defendant Internet Stores, are estimated to receive tens of millions of visits per year and to generate over \$509 billion in annual online sales. According to an intellectual property rights seizures statistics report issued by Homeland Security and the U.S. Customs and Border Protection, the manufacturer’s suggested retail price (MSRP) of goods seized by the U.S. government in the fiscal year 2020 was over \$1.3 billion.<sup>1</sup> Internet websites like the Defendant Internet Stores are also estimated to contribute to tens of thousands of lost jobs for legitimate businesses and broader economic damages such as lost tax revenue every year. *Id.*

24. As recently addressed in the *New York Times* and by the U.S. Dept. of Homeland Security, and as reflected in the increase of federal lawsuits filed against sellers offering for sale and selling infringing and/or counterfeit products on the above mentioned digital Online Marketplaces, an astronomical number of counterfeit and infringing products are offered for sale and sold on these digital marketplaces at a rampant rate.<sup>2</sup>

25. Upon information and belief, Defendants operate in a collective and organized manner: Defendants are often monitoring intellectual property infringement litigation alert websites, are in continuous and active concert with one another, and are in frequent communication

---

<sup>1</sup> See “Intellectual Property Rights Fiscal Year 2020 Seizure Statistics,” U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION, CBP Publication No. 1542-092 (September 21, 2021).

<sup>2</sup> See Ganda Suthivarakom, *Welcome to the Era of Fake Products*, N.Y. TIMES (Feb. 11, 2020), [nytimes.com/wirecutter/blog/amazon-counterfeit-fake-products/](https://www.nytimes.com/wirecutter/blog/amazon-counterfeit-fake-products/). See also *Combating Trafficking in Counterfeit and Pirated Goods*, U.S. DEPT. OF HOMELAND SECURITY (Jan. 24, 2020), available at [dhs.gov/sites/default/files/publications/20\\_0124\\_pley\\_counterfeit-pirated-goods-report\\_01.pdf](https://dhs.gov/sites/default/files/publications/20_0124_pley_counterfeit-pirated-goods-report_01.pdf).

with each other (*e.g.*, by utilizing online chat platforms and groups), source and sell products via dropshipping methods, sell products under multiple or different aliases on multiple online marketplaces, sell products sourced from other Defendants, or from one common source, and are reasonably connected to one another. Defendants use these collective efforts in an attempt to avoid liability and intellectual property enforcement efforts. Furthermore, there is a substantial evidentiary overlap in Defendants' behavior, conduct, and individual acts of infringement, thus constituting a collective enterprise.

26. Defendants go to great lengths to conceal their identities and often use multiple fictitious names and addresses to register and operate their network of Defendant Internet Stores. For example, many of Defendants' names and physical addresses used to register the Defendant Internet Stores are incomplete, contain randomly typed letters, or fail to include cities or states. Other Defendants regularly change store names and contact information to avoid detection. Upon information and belief, Defendants regularly create new websites and online marketplace accounts on various platforms, among which are those using the identities listed on the attached Schedule A, as well as other unknown fictitious names and addresses. Such Defendant Internet Store registration patterns are some of many common tactics used by Defendants to conceal their identities, the full scope and interworking of their massive infringing operation, and to avoid being shut down.

27. Even though Defendants operate under multiple fictitious names, there are numerous similarities among the Defendant Internet Stores, including, but not limited to: (1) nearly-identical layout, content, text, and formatting, even though different aliases were used to register the respective online marketplace accounts; (2) similarities of the physical Counterfeit [REDACTED] Products across Defendants, suggesting that the products were manufactured by and come from a common source; and, (3) other notable common features such as product listing titles and descriptions, the same image



sets (often in the same exact ordering), identically or similarly priced items and volume sales discounts, and similar hosting services.

28. Further, Defendants, typically operate multiple payment processor and merchant accounts, including but not limited to, one or more financial accounts operated through various payment platforms including, but not limited to: PayPal, Inc. (“PayPal”), Payoneer, Inc. (“Payoneer”), Stripe, Inc. (“Stripe”), , Amazon Payments, Inc. (“Amazon Payments”), and Alipay US, Inc. (“Alipay”) (collectively referred to herein as “Payment Processors”), and hide behind layers of payment gateways so they can continue operation in spite of any enforcement efforts. Additionally, as financial transaction logs in previous similar cases have shown, Defendants often maintain offshore bank accounts and regularly move funds from their Payment Processor accounts to said offshore bank accounts, outside the jurisdiction of this Court.

29. Defendants, without any authorization or license, have knowingly and willfully infringed the [REDACTED] Trademarks in connection with the manufacturing, advertisement, distribution, offering for sale, and sale of illegal, infringing, and counterfeit products into the United States and Illinois. Each Defendant Internet Store offers to ship to the United States, including Illinois, and, on information and belief, each Defendant has offered to sell, or has already sold, infringing products therein.

30. In committing these acts, Defendants have caused irreparable harm to the Plaintiff by, willfully and in bad faith: creating, manufacturing, importing, selling, and/or offering to sell counterfeit products and/or products which infringe upon the [REDACTED] Trademarks; using the [REDACTED] Trademarks in an unauthorized manner in order to sell, advertise, describe, mislead,

and deceive consumers; engaging in unfair competition; and unfairly and unjustly profiting from such activities at the expense of [REDACTED]

31. Plaintiff does not yet know the full extent and identity of the channels through which Defendants source and sell the Counterfeit Products. Defendants directed, supervised, and/or controlled activity infringing on Plaintiff's Trademarks and the sale of Counterfeit Products. Defendants have a direct financial interest in, and gain a direct financial benefit from infringing activity and realize profits from the sale of Counterfeit Products.

32. By engaging in the illegal conduct outlined herein, in addition to directly organizing and effectuating such infringing activities, each Defendant also induced, caused, and materially contributed to infringing conduct by others, including the other Defendants. There is a causal relationship between the infringing activity and the financial benefit reaped by Defendants.

33. Unless enjoined, Defendants will continue to cause irreparable harm to [REDACTED]

**COUNT I**  
**TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT AND COUNTERFEITING (15 U.S.C. § 1114)**

34. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in preceding paragraphs 1-36 as if fully set forth herein.

35. This is a trademark infringement action against Defendants, based on their unauthorized use in commerce of counterfeit imitations of the federally registered [REDACTED] Trademarks in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution, and/or advertising of infringing and counterfeit goods.

36. Without the authorization or consent of [REDACTED] and with knowledge of [REDACTED] well-known ownership rights in its [REDACTED] Trademarks, and with knowledge that Defendants' Counterfeit Products bear counterfeit marks, Defendants intentionally reproduced,

copied, and/or colorably imitated the [REDACTED] Trademarks and/or used spurious designations that are identical with, or substantially indistinguishable from, the [REDACTED] Trademarks on or in connection with the manufacturing, import, export, advertising, marketing, promotion, distribution, display, offering for sale, and/or sale of Counterfeit Products.

37. Defendants have manufactured, imported, exported, advertised, marketed, promoted, distributed, displayed, offered for sale, and/or sold their Counterfeit Products to the purchasing public in direct competition with [REDACTED] and the [REDACTED] Products, in or affecting interstate commerce, and/or have acted with reckless disregard of Plaintiff's rights in and to the [REDACTED] Trademarks through their participation in such activities.

38. Defendants have applied their reproductions, counterfeits, copies, and colorable imitations of the [REDACTED] Trademarks to packaging, point-of-purchase materials, promotions, and/or advertisements intended to be used in commerce upon, or in connection with, the manufacturing, importing, exporting, advertising, marketing, promoting, distributing, displaying, offering for sale, and/or selling of Defendants' Counterfeit Products, which is likely to cause confusion, mistake, and deception among the general purchasing public as to the origin of the Counterfeit Products, and is likely to deceive consumers, the public, and the trade into believing that the Counterfeit Products sold by Defendants originate from, are associated with, or are otherwise authorized by [REDACTED] through which Defendants make substantial profits and gains to which they are not entitled in law or equity.

39. Defendants' unauthorized use of the [REDACTED] Trademarks on or in connection with the Counterfeit Products was done with notice and full knowledge that such use was not authorized or licensed by [REDACTED] and with deliberate intent to unfairly benefit from the incalculable goodwill inherent in the [REDACTED] Trademarks.

40. Defendants intentionally induce others to infringe upon Plaintiff's trademarks and/or continue to supply services with the knowledge that the recipient is using such services to engage in such trademark infringement. Defendants have the right and ability to supervise the infringing activity and have an obvious and direct financial interest in the counterfeit activity.

41. Defendants' actions constitute willful counterfeiting of the [REDACTED] Trademarks in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114(1)(a)-(b), 1116(d), and 1117(b)-(c).

42. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' illegal actions alleged herein, Defendants have caused substantial monetary loss, irreparable injury, and damage to [REDACTED] its business, its reputation, and its valuable rights in and to the [REDACTED] Trademarks and the goodwill associated therewith, in an amount as yet unknown. [REDACTED] has no adequate remedy at law for this injury, and unless immediately enjoined, Defendants will continue to cause such substantial and irreparable injury, loss, and damage to [REDACTED] and its valuable [REDACTED] Trademarks.

43. Based on Defendants' actions as alleged herein, [REDACTED] is entitled to injunctive relief, damages for the irreparable harm that [REDACTED] has sustained, and will sustain, as a result of Defendants' unlawful and infringing actions, as well as all gains, profits, and advantages obtained by Defendants as a result thereof, enhanced discretionary damages, treble damages, and/or statutory damages of up to \$2,000,000 per-counterfeit mark per-type of goods sold, offered for sale, or distributed, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

**COUNT II**  
**FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN, PASSING OFF, & UNFAIR COMPETITION**  
**(15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)/LANHAM ACT § 43(a))**

44. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in preceding paragraphs 1-36 as if fully set forth herein.

45. Plaintiff, as the owner of all right, title, and interest in and to the [REDACTED] Trademarks has standing to maintain an action for false designation of origin and unfair competition under the Federal Trademark Statute, Lanham Act § 43(a) (15 U.S.C. § 1125).

46. Plaintiff's Trademarks are inherently distinctive and are registered with the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the Principal Register; the [REDACTED] Trademarks have been continuously used and have never been abandoned; the registrations for the [REDACTED] Trademarks are valid, subsisting, and in full force and effect; and one is incontestable pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1065.

47. Defendants' promotion, marketing, offering for sale, and sale of infringing [REDACTED] Products has created and continues to create a likelihood of confusion, mistake, and deception among the public as to the affiliation, connection, or association with Plaintiff.

48. By using the [REDACTED] Trademarks in connection with the sale of unauthorized products, Defendants create a false designation of origin and a misleading representation of fact as to the origin and sponsorship of the unauthorized products.

49. Defendants' false designation of origin and misrepresentation of fact as to the origin and/or sponsorship of the unauthorized products to the general public is a willful violation of Section 43 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125.

50. Upon information and belief, Defendants' aforementioned wrongful actions have been knowing, deliberate, willful, and intended to cause confusion, to cause mistake, and to deceive the purchasing public, with the intent to trade on the goodwill and reputation of [REDACTED] its [REDACTED] Products, and [REDACTED] Trademarks.

51. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' aforementioned actions, Defendants have caused irreparable injury to [REDACTED] by depriving Plaintiff of sales of its [REDACTED]

Products and by depriving of the value of its Trademarks as commercial assets in an amount as yet unknown.

52. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law and, if Defendants' actions are not enjoined, Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable harm to its reputation and the goodwill of its brand.

**COUNT III**  
**VIOLATION OF ILLINOIS UNIFORM DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES ACT**  
**(815 ILCS § 510, *et seq.*)**

53. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in preceding paragraphs 1-36 as if fully set forth herein.

54. Defendants have engaged in acts violating Illinois law, including, but not limited to, passing off their unauthorized products as those of Plaintiff, causing a likelihood of confusion and/or misunderstanding as to the source of Defendants' goods, thus causing a likelihood of confusion and/or misunderstanding as to an affiliation, connection, or association with genuine Products, through Defendants' representation that Defendants' Counterfeit Products have Plaintiff's approval, when they do not.

55. The foregoing Defendants' acts constitute a willful violation of the Illinois Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act, 815 ILCS § 510, *et seq.*

56. The conduct of each Defendant is causing and, unless enjoined and restrained by this Court, will continue to cause Plaintiff great and irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated or measured monetarily. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law, and Defendants' conduct has caused Plaintiff to suffer damage to its reputation and goodwill. Unless enjoined by the Court, Plaintiff will suffer future irreparable harm as a direct result of Defendants' unlawful activities.

57. Further, as a direct result of the Defendants' acts of trademark infringement, Defendants have obtained profits they would not have otherwise realized but for their infringement of Plaintiff's Trademarks.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests judgment against Defendants as follows:

1) That Defendants, their affiliates, officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, confederates, and all persons acting for, with, by, through, under, or in active concert with them be temporarily, preliminarily, and permanently enjoined and restrained from:

- a. using the [REDACTED] Trademarks or any reproductions, copies, or colorable imitations thereof, in any manner in connection with the distribution, marketing, advertising, offering for sale, or sale of any product that is not an authorized [REDACTED] Product, or is not authorized by Plaintiff to be sold in connection with the [REDACTED] Trademarks;
- b. passing off, inducing, or enabling others to sell or pass off any product not produced under the authorization, control, or supervision of Plaintiff and approved by Plaintiff for sale under the [REDACTED] Trademarks;
- c. shipping, delivering, holding for sale, transferring, or otherwise moving, storing, distributing, returning, or otherwise disposing of, in any manner, products or inventory not authorized by Plaintiff to be sold or offered for sale, and which bear the [REDACTED] Trademarks;
- d. further infringing the [REDACTED] Trademarks and damaging Plaintiff's goodwill;
- e. using, linking to, transferring, selling, exercising control over the Defendant Internet Stores, Defendants' product listings, or any other domain name or online

marketplace account that is being used to sell products or inventory not authorized by Plaintiff which bear the [REDACTED] Trademarks;

- f. operating and/or hosting websites at the Defendant Internet Stores, and any other domain names registered to or operated by Defendants that are involved with the distribution, marketing, advertising, offering for sale, or sale of products or inventory not authorized by Plaintiff which bear the [REDACTED] Trademarks;

2) Entry of an Order that, upon Plaintiff's request, those in privity with Defendants and those with notice of the injunction, including any Online Marketplaces and Payment Processors, and any related entities, social media platforms, Facebook, YouTube, LinkedIn, Twitter, and Internet search engines such as Google, Bing, and Yahoo, shall:

- a. disable and cease providing services for any accounts through which Defendants engage in the sale of products not authorized by Plaintiff which bear the [REDACTED] Trademarks, including any accounts associated with Defendants listed on Schedule A;
- b. disable and cease displaying any advertisements used by or associated with Defendants in connection with the sale of products not authorized by Plaintiff which bear the [REDACTED] Trademarks; and,
- c. take all steps necessary to prevent links to the Defendant Internet Stores identified on Schedule A from displaying in search results, including, but not limited to, removing links to the Defendant Internet Stores from any search index.

3) That Defendants account for, and pay to, Plaintiff all profits realized by Defendants by reason of Defendants' unlawful acts herein alleged;



4) For Judgment in favor of Plaintiff against Defendants that they have willfully infringed Plaintiff's rights in its federally registered trademarks, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1114;

5) That Plaintiff be awarded actual damages, statutory damages, and/or other available damages, at the election of Plaintiff; and that the amount of damages for infringement are increased by a sum not to exceed three times the amount thereof as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1117;

6) For Judgment in favor of Plaintiff against Defendants that they have: a) willfully infringed Plaintiff's rights in its federally registered trademarks; and, b) otherwise injured the business reputation and business of Plaintiff by Defendants' acts and conduct set forth in this Complaint;

7) That Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and,

8) Any and all other relief that this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: June 18, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ John J. Mariane  
Ann Marie Sullivan  
Alison K. Carter  
Gouthami V. Tufts  
John J. Mariane

**SULLIVAN & CARTER, LLP**  
111 W. Jackson Blvd. Suite. 1700  
Chicago, Illinois 60604  
www.scip.law  
929-724-7529  
[j.mariane@scip.law](mailto:j.mariane@scip.law)

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF**